ON VISCOSITY AND FLUCTUATION-DISSIPATION IN EXCLUSION PROCESSES

C. LANDIM, S. OLLA, S. R. S. VARADHAN

Dedicated to Gianni Jona Lasinio on his seventieth birthday.

ABSTRACT. We consider the asymmetric simple exclusion process. We review some results in dimension $d \geq 3$ concerning the fluctuation-dissipation theorem and we prove regularity of viscosity coefficients.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we will review some of the results concerning the fluctuations in equilibrium of the asymmetric simple exclusion process in dimension $d \geq 3$. These are examples of nonreversible models of interacting particle systems on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with conservation of particles and a family of ergodic equilibrium distributions indexed by a single parameter, i.e. the density. The model is simple enough to admit a certain amount of explicit calculations. In particular, the equilibrium distributions $\{\nu_\alpha\}$ are Bernoulli product measures with $\nu_\alpha[\eta(x) = 1] = \alpha$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

The study of fluctuations depends on controlling space-time correlations of the form

$$E^{\nu_\alpha} \left[\left| \int_0^T \sum_{z \in V} \tau_z f(\eta_t) dt \right|^2 \right]$$

for large times T and large volumes V . Here f is a suitable local function and τ_z is translation by $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. The natural way to control such objects is to invert the generator of the process L , i.e. to solve the equation

$$Lu = \sum_{z \in V} \tau_z f \tag{1.1}$$

perhaps with $u = \sum_{z \in V} \tau_z v$ for some v . Our goal is to show that we can approximate, in a proper weak sense, the solution of equation (1.1) by such functions. Our interest is to perform such approximation in a diffusive space-time scaling limit (i.e. $T \sim |V|^{2/d}$). We will characterize the functions f such that the corresponding asymptotic space-time variance is finite and then we will prove that this variance is a smooth function of the density α .

There is a natural orthonormal basis for $L_2(\nu_\alpha)$ indexed by finite subsets A of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ that commutes with τ_z . Moreover there is a corresponding decomposition of $L_2(\nu_\alpha)$ as $\oplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{G}_n$ as the direct sum of orthogonal subspaces according to the cardinality n of A . Since, in this context, one cannot distinguish between f and $\tau_z f$, A and

Date: February 12, 2003.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. primary 60K35.

Key words and phrases. Exclusion process, fluctuation-dissipation theorem, regularity of viscosity coefficients.

$\tau_z A$ can be identified. A reasonable cross section, one with a multiplicity of n for sets of cardinality n can be found for the equivalence classes. Another important point is that in the symmetric case L leaves each $\mathcal{G}_n$ invariant so that the inversion needs to be done only on each $\mathcal{G}_n$, which is no harder than the analysis of random walk of $n-1$ particles on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. If $d \geq 3$, the asymmetric case can be treated as a perturbation of the symmetric one. Although this is a somewhat singular perturbation the transience of the random walk in $d \geq 3$ and the fact that the perturbation only causes $\mathcal{G}_n$ to be mapped into $\mathcal{G}_{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{G}_n \oplus \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ help the analysis. The perturbation is controlled with the use of weighted norms with weights growing polynomially in the degree n of $\mathcal{G}_n$.

Duality for the symmetric simple exclusion was first observed by Spitzer and was broadly used in this context (cf. [12]). The use of a dual base in order to study fluctuations in the asymmetric simple exclusion in dimension $d \geq 3$ was introduced in [8] (where the fluctuation-dissipation theorem was first proved) and in [13]. The fluctuation dissipation theorem was then applied in order to study the diffusive incompressible limit (cf. [3]), the first order corrections to the hydrodynamic limit (cf. [6]) and the equilibrium fluctuations for the density field [2].

Always with the use of this dual base, in [11] we prove the regularity of the self-diffusion coefficient (as function of the density) for the *symmetric* simple exclusion (reversible). Using this approach in [1] is proved the regularity of the bulk diffusion coefficient for a non-gradient speed change exclusion that has ν_α as equilibrium (reversible) measures.

2. NOTATION AND RESULTS

Fix a probability distribution $p(\cdot)$ supported on a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}_*^d = \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and denote by L the generator of the simple exclusion process on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ associated to $p(\cdot)$. L acts on local functions f on $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ as

$$(Lf)(\eta) = \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} p(y-x) \eta(x) \{1 - \eta(y)\} [f(\sigma^{x,y} \eta) - f(\eta)], \quad (2.1)$$

where $\sigma^{x,y} \eta$ stands for the configuration obtained from η by exchanging the occupation variables $\eta(x)$, $\eta(y)$:

$$(\sigma^{x,y} \eta)(z) = \begin{cases} \eta(z) & \text{if } z \neq x, y, \\ \eta(x) & \text{if } z = y, \\ \eta(y) & \text{if } z = x. \end{cases}$$

Denote by $s(\cdot)$ and $a(\cdot)$ the symmetric and the anti-symmetric parts of the probability $p(\cdot)$:

$$s(x) = (1/2)[p(x) + p(-x)], \quad a(x) = (1/2)[p(x) - p(-x)]$$

and denote by L^s , L^a the symmetric part and the anti-symmetric part of the generator L . L^s , L^a are obtained by replacing p by s , a in the definition of L .

For α in $[0, 1]$, denote by ν_α the Bernoulli product measure on $\mathcal{X}$ with $\nu_\alpha[\eta(x) = 1] = \alpha$. Measures in this one-parameter family are stationary and ergodic for the simple exclusion dynamics and in the symmetric case, i.e. $p(x) = p(-x)$, these measures are reversible. Expectation with respect to ν_α is represented by $\langle \cdot \rangle_\alpha$ and the scalar product in $L^2(\nu_\alpha)$ by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\alpha$.

The main result is Theorem 5.3, known as the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. There are two distinct types of fluctuations. If u is a local function, then fluctuations of the form

$$\int_0^{t\epsilon^{-2}} f_\epsilon(\eta_s) ds$$

where

$$f_\epsilon(\eta) = \sum_x G(\epsilon x)(\tau_x L u)(\eta)$$

satisfy a central limit theorem and converge to a Brownian Motion when scaled by $\epsilon^{1+\frac{d}{2}}$.

On the other hand if $v = \sum_z b(z)[\eta(z) - \eta(0)]$ for some b supported on a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}_*^d$, then

$$\begin{aligned} g_\epsilon &= \sum_x G(\epsilon x)(\tau_x v)(\eta) = \sum_{x,z} G(\epsilon x)b(z)[\eta(x+z) - \eta(x)] \\ &= \sum_z b(z) \sum_x [G(\epsilon(x-z)) - G(\epsilon x)]\eta(x) \\ &= -\epsilon \sum_z \sum_x b(z)z \cdot \nabla G(\epsilon x) \eta(x). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently fluctuations of the form

$$\int_0^{t\epsilon^{-2}} g_\epsilon(\eta_s) ds$$

when normalized again by $\epsilon^{1+\frac{d}{2}}$ correspond to a time average of a density fluctuation.

The fluctuation dissipation theorem asserts that if $w \in \oplus_{n \geq 2} \mathcal{G}_n$ is a local function then there are coefficients $b(z, w)$ such that the fluctuation of $w - \sum_z b(z, w)[\eta(z) - \eta(0)]$ is well approximated by fluctuations of the first type. The constants $b(z, w)$ are defined for each α . We prove in section 7 that these are C^∞ functions of α .

This leads immediately to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck limit for the rescaled density fluctuations in equilibrium. If $\xi_\epsilon(t)$ is a rescaled density fluctuation at time t , then we get

$$d\xi_\epsilon(t) = A_\epsilon(t)dt + dM_\epsilon(t)$$

The A_ϵ decomposes into two terms, one of them being a density fluctuation of the form $\mathcal{A}\xi_\epsilon(t)$ which is linear in the field and the other which is nonlinear approximating a Brownian Motion. The term $dM_\epsilon(t)$ approaches a Brownian Motion as well. The covariances can all be worked out resulting in an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type of relation

$$d\xi(t) = A\xi(t)dt + d\beta(t)$$

In practice A turns out to be second order elliptic partial differential operator and its coefficients are the symmetrized viscosity coefficients that depend smoothly on the underlying density α (cf. [2] for details).

3. DUALITY

We examine in this section the action of the symmetric part L^s of the generator on the space of local functions endowed with a particular scalar product $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg$.

Fix once for all a density α in $(0, 1)$. All expectations in this section are taken with respect to ν_α and we omit all sub-indices.

3.1. The dual space. For each $n \geq 0$, denote by $\mathcal{E}_n$ the subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with n points and let $\mathcal{E} = \cup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{E}_n$ be the class of finite subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. For each A in $\mathcal{E}$, let Ψ_A be the local function

$$\Psi_A = \prod_{x \in A} \frac{\eta(x) - \alpha}{\sqrt{\chi(\alpha)}} ,$$

where $\chi(\alpha) = \alpha(1-\alpha)$. By convention, $\Psi_\emptyset = 1$. It is easy to check that $\{\Psi_A, A \in \mathcal{E}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\nu_\alpha)$. For each $n \geq 0$, denote by $\mathcal{G}_n$ the subspace of $L^2(\nu_\alpha)$ generated by $\{\Psi_A, A \in \mathcal{E}_n\}$, so that $L^2(\nu_\alpha) = \oplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{G}_n$. Functions in $\mathcal{G}_n$ are said to have degree n .

Consider a local function f . Since $\{\Psi_A : A \in \mathcal{E}\}$ is a basis of $L^2(\nu_\alpha)$, we may write

$$f = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_n} f(A) \Psi_A .$$

Note that the coefficients $f(A)$ depend not only on f but also on the density α : $f(A) = f(A, \alpha)$. Since f is a local function, $f: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function of finite support.

For local functions u, v , define the scalar product $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg$ by

$$\ll u, v \gg = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \{ \langle \tau_x u, v \rangle - \langle u \rangle \langle v \rangle \} , \quad (3.1)$$

where $\{\tau_x, x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is the group of translations. That this is in fact an inner product can be seen by the relation

$$\ll u, v \gg = \lim_{V \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{1}{|V|} \langle \sum_{x \in V} \tau_x (u - \langle u \rangle), \sum_{x \in V} \tau_x (v - \langle v \rangle) \rangle .$$

Since $\ll u - \tau_x u, v \gg = 0$ for all x in $\mathbb{Z}^d$, this scalar product is only semidefinite. Denote by $L^2_{\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg}(\nu_\alpha)$ the Hilbert space generated by the local functions and the inner product $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg$. The scalar product of two local functions u, v can be written in terms of the Fourier coefficients of u, v through a simple formula. To this end, fix two local functions u, v and write them in the basis $\{\Psi_A, A \in \mathcal{E}\}$:

$$u = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}} u(A) \Psi_A , \quad v = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}} v(A) \Psi_A .$$

An elementary computation shows that

$$\ll u, v \gg = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_n} u(A) v(A+x) .$$

In this formula, $B+z$ is the set $\{x+z; x \in B\}$. The summation starts from $n=1$ due to the centering by the mean in the definition of the inner product $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg$.

We say that two finite subsets A, B of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ are equivalent if one is the translation of the other. This equivalence relation is denoted by $\sim$ so that $A \sim B$ if $A = B+x$ for some x in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$ be the quotient of $\mathcal{E}_n$ with respect to this equivalence relation: $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n = \mathcal{E}_n / \sim$, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E} / \sim$. If, for some n , $f: \mathcal{E}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a summable function,

$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_n} f(A) = \sum_{\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n} \tilde{f}(\tilde{A})$$

where, for any equivalence class $\tilde{A}$ and a summable function $f: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{A}) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(A + z), \quad (3.2)$$

A being any representative from $\tilde{A}$. In particular, for two local functions u, v ,

$$\ll u, v \gg = \sum_{x, z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n} u(A + z) v(A + x + z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n} \tilde{u}(\tilde{A}) \tilde{v}(\tilde{A}).$$

We say that a function $f: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is translation invariant if $f(A + x) = f(A)$ for all sets A in $\mathcal{E}$ and all sites x of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Of course, functions $\tilde{f}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ are the same as translation invariant functions on $\mathcal{E}$. Fix a subset A of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with n points. There are n sets in the class of equivalence of A that contain the origin. Therefore, summing a translation invariant function f over all equivalence classes $\tilde{A}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$ is the same as summing f over all sets B in $\mathcal{E}_n$ which contain the origin and dividing by n :

$$\sum_{\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n} \tilde{f}(\tilde{A}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{E}_n \\ A \ni 0}} f(A)$$

provided $f(A) = f(A + x)$ for all A, x . Let $\mathcal{E}_*$ be the class of all finite subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^d = \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\mathcal{E}_{*,n}$ be the class of all subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with n points. Then, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \ll u, v \gg &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{E}_n \\ A \ni 0}} \tilde{u}(A) \tilde{v}(A) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n}} \tilde{u}(A \cup \{0\}) \tilde{v}(A \cup \{0\}). \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, if for a finitely supported function $f: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define $\mathfrak{T}f: \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(\mathfrak{T}f)(A) = \tilde{f}(A \cup \{0\}) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f([A \cup \{0\}] + z),$$

we have that

$$\ll u, v \gg = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n}} \mathfrak{T}u(A) \mathfrak{T}v(A). \quad (3.3)$$

For $n \geq 0$, denote by π_n the projection that corresponds to $\mathcal{E}_{*,n}$ i.e. $(\pi_n f)(A) = f(A) \mathbf{1}\{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n}\}$ and denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the usual scalar product on each set $\mathcal{E}_{*,n}$: for $f, g: \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n}} f(A) g(A).$$

In view of formula (3.3), it is natural to introduce, for an integer $k \geq -1$, the Hilbert spaces $L^2(\mathcal{E}_*, k)$ generated by finite supported functions $f: \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the scalar product $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg_{0,k}$ defined by

$$\ll f, g \gg_{0,k} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (n+1)^k \langle \pi_n f, \pi_n g \rangle.$$

With this notation, for local functions f, g in $\oplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{G}_n$,

$$\ll f, g \gg = \ll \mathfrak{T}f, \mathfrak{T}g \gg_{0,-1}.$$

To summarize some observations on the transformation $\mathfrak{T}$, we need some notation. For a subset A of $\mathbb{Z}_*^d$ and x, y, z in $\mathbb{Z}_*^d$, denote by $A_{x,y}$ the set defined by

$$A_{x,y} = \begin{cases} (A \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{y\} & \text{if } x \in A, y \notin A, \\ (A \setminus \{y\}) \cup \{x\} & \text{if } y \in A, x \notin A, \\ A & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

and denote by $S_z A$ the set defined by

$$S_z A = \begin{cases} A - z & \text{if } z \notin A, \\ (A - z)_{0,-z} & \text{if } z \in A. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Therefore, to obtain $S_z A$ from A in the case where z belongs to A , we first translate A by $-z$, getting a new set which contains the origin, and we then remove the origin and add site $-z$.

Remark 3.1.

- (a) *The restriction of $\mathfrak{f}$ to $\mathcal{E}_1$ is irrelevant for the definition of $\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{f}(A)$ if A is nonempty.*
- (b) *Not every function $\mathfrak{f}_* : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the image by $\mathfrak{T}$ of some function $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ since*

$$(\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{f})(A) = (\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{f})(S_z A) \quad (3.6)$$

for all z in A .

- (c) *Let $\mathfrak{f}_* : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a finitely supported function satisfying (3.6): $\mathfrak{f}_*(A) = \mathfrak{f}_*(S_z A)$ for all z in A . Define $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by*

$$\mathfrak{f}(B) = \begin{cases} |B|^{-1} \mathfrak{f}_*(B \setminus \{0\}) & \text{if } B \ni 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

An elementary computations shows that $\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f}_$. With this choice, which is natural but not unique, $\mathfrak{f}(0) = \mathfrak{f}_*(\phi)$.*

- (d) *$\mathfrak{T}$ maps $\mathcal{E}_n$ into $\mathcal{E}_{*,n-1}$ lowering the degree of a function by one. Thus the translations in the inner product $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg$ effectively reduce the degree by one while replacing the space $\mathbb{Z}^d$ by $\mathbb{Z}_*^d$.*
- (e) *Formula (3.3) shows also that a local function f is in the kernel of the inner product $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg$ if and only if $\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{f}$ vanishes, i.e., if and only if*

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathfrak{f}(A + x) = 0$$

for all finite subsets A such that $|A| \geq 1$. Examples of such functions are the constants or the difference of the translations of a local function: $\tau_y f - \tau_x f$.

To keep notation simple, most of the time, for a function $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we denote $\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{f}$ by $\bar{\mathfrak{f}}$. Real functions on $\mathcal{E}$ or on $\mathcal{E}_*$ are indistinctively denoted by the symbols $\mathfrak{f}$, $\mathfrak{g}$.

3.2. Some Hilbert spaces. We investigate in this subsection the action of the symmetric part of the generator L on the basis $\{\Psi_A, A \in \mathcal{E}_*\}$. Fix a function u of degree $n \geq 1$ and denote by $\mathbf{u}$ its Fourier coefficients. A straightforward computation shows that

$$L^s u = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_n} (\mathcal{L}_s \mathbf{u})(A) \Psi_A, \quad (3.8)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_s$ is the generator of finite symmetric random walks evolving with exclusion on $\mathbb{Z}^d$:

$$(\mathcal{L}_s \mathbf{u})(A) = (1/2) \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} s(y-x) [\mathbf{u}(A_{x,y}) - \mathbf{u}(A)] \quad (3.9)$$

and $A_{x,y}$ is the set defined by (3.4). Furthermore, an elementary computation, based on the fact that

$$\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbf{f}([B \cup \{y\}] + z) = \mathfrak{T} \mathbf{f}(S_y B)$$

for all subsets B of $\mathbb{Z}_*^d$, sites y not in B and finitely supported functions $\mathbf{f} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, shows that for every set B in $\mathcal{E}_*$

$$\mathfrak{T} \mathcal{L}_s \mathbf{u}(B) = \mathcal{L}_s \mathfrak{T} \mathbf{u}(B), \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$(\mathcal{L}_s \bar{\mathbf{u}})(B) = (1/2) \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}_*^d} s(y-x) [\bar{\mathbf{u}}(B_{x,y}) - \bar{\mathbf{u}}(B)] + \sum_{y \notin B} s(y) [\bar{\mathbf{u}}(S_y B) - \bar{\mathbf{u}}(B)].$$

This computation should be understood as follows. We introduced an equivalence relation in $\mathcal{E}$ when we decided not to distinguish between a set and its translations. This is the same as assuming that all sets contain the origin. If n particles evolve as exclusion random walks on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, one of them fixed to be at the origin, two things may happen. Either one of the particles which is not at the origin jumps or the particle we assumed to be at the origin jumps. In the first case, this is just a jump on $\mathbb{Z}_*^d$ and is taken care by the first piece of the generator $\mathcal{L}_s$. In the second case, however, since we are imposing the origin to be always occupied, we need to translate back the configuration to the origin. This part corresponds to the second piece of the generator $\mathcal{L}_s$.

We are now in a position to define the Hilbert space induced by the local functions $\mathcal{C}$, the symmetric part of the generator L and the scalar product $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg$. For two local functions u, v , let

$$\ll u, v \gg_1 = \ll u, (-L^s)v \gg$$

and let $H_1 = H_1(\mathcal{C}, L^s, \ll \cdot, \cdot \gg)$ be the Hilbert space generated by local functions f and the inner product $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg_1$. By (3.8), (3.3) and (3.10) the previous scalar product is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n}} \bar{\mathbf{u}}(A) \overline{\mathcal{L}_s \mathbf{v}}(A) &= - \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n}} \bar{\mathbf{u}}(A) (\mathcal{L}_s \bar{\mathbf{v}})(A) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n+1} \langle \pi_n \bar{\mathbf{u}}, (-\mathcal{L}_s) \pi_n \bar{\mathbf{v}} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

because $\mathcal{L}_s$ keeps the degree of the functions mapping $\mathcal{E}_{*,n}$ in $\mathcal{E}_{*,n}$.

This formula leads to the following definitions. For each $n \geq 0$, denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ the scalar product on $\mathcal{E}_{*,n}$ defined by

$$\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle_1 = \langle \mathbf{f}, (-\mathcal{L}_s) \mathbf{g} \rangle$$

and denote by $\mathfrak{H}_1(\mathcal{E}_{*,n})$ the Hilbert space on $\mathcal{E}_{*,n}$ induced by the finitely supported functions and the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$. The associated norm is denoted by $\|\mathbf{f}\|_1^2 = \langle \mathbf{f}, (-\mathcal{L}_s) \mathbf{f} \rangle$. Furthermore, for an integer $k \geq -1$, denote by $\mathfrak{H}_{1,k} =$

$\mathfrak{H}_1(\mathcal{E}_*, \mathfrak{L}_s, k)$ the Hilbert space induced by the finite supported functions $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and scalar product

$$\ll \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \gg_{1,k} = \ll \mathfrak{f}, (-\mathfrak{L}_s)\mathfrak{g} \gg_{0,k} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (n+1)^k \langle \pi_n \mathfrak{f}, (-\mathfrak{L}_s)\pi_n \mathfrak{g} \rangle .$$

The associated norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{1,k}$ so that $\|\mathfrak{f}\|_{1,k}^2 = \ll \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f} \gg_{1,k}$.

Three observations are in order. First of all, in the definition of the scalar product $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg_{1,k}$, because $\mathfrak{L}_s \mathfrak{f}(\phi) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{f}$, it is irrelevant if the summation starts from $n = 0$ or from $n = 1$. On the other hand, it follows from the previous relation that

$$\|\mathfrak{f}\|_{1,k}^2 = \sum_{n \geq 0} (n+1)^k \|\pi_n \mathfrak{f}\|_1^2 .$$

Finally, for every local function u, v ,

$$\ll u, v \gg_1 = \ll \mathfrak{T}u, \mathfrak{T}v \gg_{1,-1}$$

vanishes for functions u and v of degree 0 or 1. Moreover, for every $n \geq 1$ and every finitely supported functions $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} : \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \rangle_1 &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x,y \neq 0} s(y-x) \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n}} [\mathfrak{g}(A_{x,y}) - \mathfrak{g}(A)] [\mathfrak{f}(A_{x,y}) - \mathfrak{f}(A)] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} s(y) \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \\ A \not\ni y}} [\mathfrak{g}(S_y A) - \mathfrak{g}(A)] [\mathfrak{f}(S_y A) - \mathfrak{f}(A)] . \end{aligned}$$

To introduce the dual Hilbert spaces of $H_1, \mathfrak{H}_1$, for a local function u , consider the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{-1}$ given by

$$\|u\|_{-1} = \sup_v \left\{ 2 \ll u, v \gg - \ll v, v \gg_1 \right\} ,$$

where the supremum is taken over all local functions v . Denote by $H_{-1} = H_{-1}(\mathcal{C}, L^s, \ll \cdot, \cdot \gg)$ the Hilbert space generated by the local functions and the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{-1}$.

Recall the definition of the spaces $\mathcal{G}_n$ introduced at the beginning of subsection 3.1. Since L^s keeps the degree of a function and since the spaces $\mathcal{G}_n$ are orthogonal, for local functions of fixed degree, we may restrict the supremum to local functions of the same degree so that

$$\|f\|_{-1}^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} \|\pi_n f\|_{-1}^2 ,$$

where $\pi_n f$ stands for the projection of f on $\mathcal{G}_n$. Moreover, we will see later in (4.1) that functions of degree 1 which do not vanish in $L^2(\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg)$ have infinite H_{-1} norm.

In the same way, for an integer $n \geq 1$ and a finitely supported function $\mathfrak{u} : \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\|\mathfrak{u}\|_{-1}^2 = \sup_{\mathfrak{v}} \left\{ 2 \langle \mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v} \rangle - \langle \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{v} \rangle_1 \right\} ,$$

where the supremum is carried over all finitely supported functions $\mathfrak{v} : \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{H}_{-1} = \mathfrak{H}_{-1}(\mathcal{E}_{*,n})$ the Hilbert space induced by the finitely supported functions $\mathfrak{u} : \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{-1}$.

For a integer $k \geq -1$, define the $\mathfrak{H}_{-1,k} = \mathfrak{H}_{-1}(\mathcal{E}_*, \mathfrak{L}_s, k)$ norm of a finite supported function $\mathbf{u} : \mathcal{E}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{-1,k} = \sup_{\mathbf{v}} \left\{ 2 \ll \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \gg_{0,k} - \ll \mathbf{v}, (-\mathfrak{L}_s) \mathbf{v} \gg_{0,k} \right\},$$

where the supremum is carried over all finitely supported functions $\mathbf{v} : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{H}_{-1,k} = \mathfrak{H}_{-1}(\mathcal{E}_*, \mathfrak{L}_s, k)$ the Hilbert space induced by this semi-norm and the space of finite supported functions. Here again, since $\mathfrak{L}_s$ does not change the degrees of a function, for every finitely supported $\mathbf{u} : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{-1,k}^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} (n+1)^k \|\pi_n \mathbf{u}\|_{-1}^2$$

and for any local function u ,

$$\|u\|_{-1} = \|\mathfrak{T}u\|_{-1,-1}.$$

3.3. The Fourier coefficients of the generator L . We conclude this section deriving explicit expressions for the generator L on the basis $\{\Psi_A, A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d\}$. A long and elementary computation gives the following dual representation: For every local function $u = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}} u(A) \Psi_A$,

$$Lu = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{L}_\alpha u)(A) \Psi_A,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\alpha = \mathcal{L}_s + (1 - 2\alpha)\mathcal{L}_d + \sqrt{\chi(\alpha)}(\mathcal{L}_+ + \mathcal{L}_-)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_d u)(A) &= \sum_{x \in A, y \notin A} a(y-x) \{u(A_{x,y}) - u(A)\}, \\ (\mathcal{L}_+ u)(A) &= 2 \sum_{x \in A, y \in A} a(y-x) u(A \setminus \{y\}), \\ (\mathcal{L}_- u)(A) &= 2 \sum_{x \notin A, y \notin A} a(y-x) u(A \cup \{y\}) \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathcal{L}_s$ is defined by (3.9). Furthermore, for any function $u : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\mathfrak{T}\mathcal{L}_\alpha u = \mathfrak{L}_\alpha \mathfrak{T}u$, provided

$$\mathfrak{L}_\alpha = \mathfrak{L}_s + (1 - 2\alpha)\mathfrak{L}_d + \sqrt{\chi(\alpha)}\{\mathfrak{L}_+ + \mathfrak{L}_-\}$$

and, for $A \in \mathcal{E}_*$, $\mathbf{v} : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a finitely supported function,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{L}_d \mathbf{v})(A) &= \sum_{\substack{x \in A, y \notin A \\ x, y \neq 0}} a(y-x) \{\mathbf{v}(A_{x,y}) - \mathbf{v}(A)\} + \sum_{\substack{y \notin A \\ y \neq 0}} a(y) \{\mathbf{v}(S_y A) - \mathbf{v}(A)\}, \\ (\mathfrak{L}_+ \mathbf{v})(A) &= 2 \sum_{x \in A, y \in A} a(y-x) \mathbf{v}(A \setminus \{y\}) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{x \in A} a(x) \{\mathbf{v}(A \setminus \{x\}) - \mathbf{v}(S_x[A \setminus \{x\}])\}, \\ (\mathfrak{L}_- \mathbf{v})(A) &= 2 \sum_{\substack{x \notin A, y \notin A \\ x, y \neq 0}} a(y-x) \mathbf{v}(A \cup \{y\}). \end{aligned}$$

4. APPROXIMATIONS IN H_{-1}

From this section on, we work in dimension $d \geq 3$. All finite constants denoted by C_0 are allowed to depend on the transition probability $p(\cdot)$ and only on $p(\cdot)$.

The main goal of this section is to show that finitely supported functions can be approximated in H_{-1} by finitely supported functions in the image of the operator $\mathfrak{L}_\alpha$. We start proving that all finitely supported functions $\mathfrak{w} : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathfrak{w}(\phi) = 0$ are in H_{-1} .

Several estimates on the operators $\mathfrak{L}_d$, $\mathfrak{L}_-$, $\mathfrak{L}_+$ are assumed here and proved in section 7.

Theorem 4.1. *A finitely supported function $\mathfrak{w} : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{H}_{-1,k}(\mathcal{E}_*, \mathfrak{L}_s)$ for every $k \geq 1$ provided $\mathfrak{w}(\phi) = 0$.*

This result states that any local function w in $\oplus_{n \geq 2} \mathcal{G}_n$ belongs to $H_{-1}(\mathcal{C}, L^s, \ll \cdot, \cdot \gg)$. Notice that we are requiring the degree to be greater or equal to 2. The reason is simple. Any local function f of degree one has H_1 norm equal to 0: if $f = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_x \Psi_x$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ll f, Lf \gg &= \ll f, L^s f \gg \\ &= \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_x c_y \sum_{z,w \in \mathbb{Z}^d} s(w) \langle \Psi_{x+z}, \Psi_{y+w} - \Psi_y \rangle = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

There are thus two possibilities. Either $\sum_x c_x = 0$, in which case $f = 0$ both in $L^2(\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg)$ and in H_1 or $\sum_x c_x \neq 0$ in which case $f = 0$ in H_1 but not in L^2 . In this later circumstance, f does not belong to H_{-1} . Therefore, a function of degree one belongs to H_{-1} only if it vanishes in L^2 , i.e., only if $\sum_x c_x = 0$. This explains the restriction on the degree in the previous theorem.

Theorem 4.1 is proved in the same way as Lemma 2.1 in [13]. One has to show that the Green function associated to the generator $\mathfrak{L}_s$ restricted to $\mathcal{E}_{*,n}$, for some $n \geq 1$, is finite. This is done by comparing the Green function with the one associated to independent random walks, which is finite because $d \geq 3$.

Recall from Remark 3.1 in the previous section that for a finitely supported function $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{f})(A) = (\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{f})(S_z A)$ for all z in A . Thus, for $n \geq 0$, denote by $\mathcal{I}_n$ the closed subspace of $L^2(\mathcal{E}_{*,n})$ of all functions $\mathfrak{f}$ for which (3.6) holds and let $\mathcal{I} = \oplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{I}_n$.

We are now in a position to state the main result of this section. We have just seen that all finitely supported functions $\mathfrak{w} : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathfrak{w}(\phi) = 0$ belong to H_{-1} . We now prove that these functions can be approximated in H_{-1} by finitely supported functions in the image of the operator $\mathfrak{L}_\alpha$.

Theorem 4.2. *Fix a finitely supported function $\mathfrak{w} : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in $\mathcal{I}$ such that $\mathfrak{w}(\phi) = 0$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \geq -1$, there exists a finitely supported function $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\|\mathfrak{L}_\alpha \mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{w}\|_{-1,k} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, we may take $\mathfrak{f}$ in $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathfrak{f}(\phi) = 0$.

The proof of this result requires several estimates on the resolvent equation (4.2). The existence of a solution in L^2 of the resolvent equation stated in the next lemma requires a proof because $\mathfrak{L}_\alpha$ is not the generator of a Markov process.

Lemma 4.3. *For each $\lambda > 0$, there exists a function u_λ in $\mathcal{I}$ which solves the resolvent equation*

$$\lambda u_\lambda - \mathfrak{L}_\alpha u_\lambda = \mathfrak{w} . \quad (4.2)$$

Proof: For $n \geq 1$, let Π_n be the projection on $\bigcup_{j=1}^n \mathcal{E}_{*,j}$: $\Pi_n = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \pi_j$ and let $\mathfrak{M}_n = \Pi_n(\mathfrak{L}_+ + \mathfrak{L}_-)\Pi_n$. We first prove the existence of a solution in L^2 of the truncated resolvent equation

$$\lambda u_{\lambda,n} - \{\mathfrak{L}_s + (1 - 2\alpha)\mathfrak{L}_d + \sqrt{\chi(\alpha)}\mathfrak{M}_n\}u_{\lambda,n} = \mathfrak{w} . \quad (4.3)$$

By Lemma 7.1, the operators $\mathfrak{L}_s$, $\mathfrak{L}_d$ and $\mathfrak{L}_\pm$ are bounded on $L^2(\bigcup_{j=1}^n \mathcal{E}_{*,j})$ for each fixed $n \geq 1$. There exists, in particular, a solution for λ large enough. $\mathfrak{L}_s$ is a symmetric negative semi-definite operator while, by Lemma 7.2, $\mathfrak{L}_d$ and $\mathfrak{L}_+ + \mathfrak{L}_-$ are anti-symmetric. Since Π_n is a projection, for any f in $L^2(\mathcal{E}_*)$, $\langle f, \mathfrak{M}_n f \rangle = \langle f, \Pi_n(\mathfrak{L}_+ + \mathfrak{L}_-)\Pi_n f \rangle = \langle \Pi_n f, (\mathfrak{L}_+ + \mathfrak{L}_-)\Pi_n f \rangle = 0$, so that $\mathfrak{M}_n$ is also anti-symmetric. Therefore, taking the scalar product on both sides of the previous identity with respect to $u_{\lambda,n}$ we obtain by Schwarz inequality that

$$\lambda \|u_{\lambda,n}\|_0 \leq \|\mathfrak{w}\|_0 .$$

Here $\|\cdot\|_0$ stand for the $L^2(\mathcal{E}_*)$ norm. By the proof of Proposition I.2.8 (b) in [12], there exists a solution of (4.3) for every $\lambda > 0$. Moreover, $u_{\lambda,n}$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}$ because $\mathfrak{w}$ does.

Up to this point we proved the existence of a solution of the resolvent equation (4.3). The previous estimate shows that the sequence $\{u_{\lambda,n}, n \geq 1\}$ is uniformly bounded in L^2 for each $\lambda > 0$. Moreover, by Lemma 7.7 and the proof of Lemma 2.5 in [8] or the proof of Theorem 5.1 in [13], since $\mathfrak{w}$ is finitely supported, for every $k \geq 1$,

$$\lambda \sum_{j \geq 1} j^k \|\pi_j u_{\lambda,n}\|_0 \leq C(k, \mathfrak{w})$$

uniformly over n . Let f be a limit point of the sequence $\{u_{\lambda,n}, n \geq 1\}$. f inherits the previous bound and belongs therefore to the domain of the operators $\mathfrak{L}_s$, $\mathfrak{L}_d$, $\mathfrak{L}_\pm$. Furthermore, taking scalar products with finitely supported functions, it is easy to show that any limit point of this sequence is a solution of the resolvent equation (4.2). Finally, f belongs to $\mathcal{I}$ because each function $u_{\lambda,n}$ belongs and $\mathcal{I}$ is closed. This proves the lemma. $\square$

By the proof of Lemma 2.5 in [8] or the proof of Theorem 5.1 in [13] we may deduce from Lemma 7.7 the following bound on the solution u_λ of the resolvent equation (4.2).

Theorem 4.4. *Let u_λ be the solution of the resolvent equation (4.2). For any positive integer k , there exists a finite constant C_k depending only on k such that*

$$\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \{\lambda \|u_\lambda\|_{0,k}^2 + \|u_\lambda\|_{1,k}^2\} \leq C_k \|\mathfrak{w}\|_{-1,k}^2 . \quad (4.4)$$

The following estimate on the asymmetric part of the generator that preserves the degree is needed in the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Lemma 4.5. *Let u_λ be the solution of the resolvent equation (4.2). For any $k \geq 1$, there exists a finite constant C_k , depending only on k , such that*

$$\|\mathfrak{L}_d u_\lambda\|_{-1,k}^2 \leq C_k \|\mathfrak{w}\|_{-1,k+3}^2 .$$

Proof: Let $\mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{w} + \sqrt{\chi(\alpha)}[\mathfrak{L}_+ + \mathfrak{L}_-]\mathbf{u}_\lambda$ so that

$$\lambda \mathbf{u}_\lambda - \{\mathfrak{L}_s + (1 - 2\alpha)\mathfrak{L}_d\}\mathbf{u}_\lambda = \mathbf{w}_1 .$$

By Lemma 7.7 there exists a finite constant C_0 such that

$$\|\pi_n \mathbf{w}_1\|_{-1}^2 \leq 2\|\pi_n \mathbf{w}\|_{-1}^2 + C_0 n \sum_{j=n-1}^{n+1} \|\pi_j \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_1^2 \quad (4.5)$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

Notice that the operator $\mathfrak{L}_s + (1 - 2\alpha)\mathfrak{L}_d$ does not change the degree of a function. We may therefore examine equation (4.6) on each set $\mathcal{E}_{*,n}$:

$$\lambda \pi_n \mathbf{u}_\lambda - \{\mathfrak{L}_s + (1 - 2\alpha)\mathfrak{L}_d\} \pi_n \mathbf{u}_\lambda = \pi_n \mathbf{w}_1 . \quad (4.6)$$

Since n is fixed until estimate (4.10), we omit the operator π_n in the next formulas.

Following section 6 of [13], we approximate this operator by a convolution operator that can be analyzed through Fourier transforms. Fix $n \geq 1$ and let $\mathcal{X}_n = (\mathbb{Z}^d)^n$. We consider a set A in $\mathcal{E}_{*,n}$ as an equivalent class on $n!$ sets of distinct points of $\mathbb{Z}_*^d$. A function $f: \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be lifted into a symmetric function $\mathfrak{B}f$ on $\mathcal{X}_n$, that vanishes on $\mathcal{X}_n \setminus \mathcal{E}_{n,*}$: $\mathfrak{B}f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ if $x_i = x_j$ for some $i \neq j$ or if $x_i = 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. The operators $\mathfrak{L}_s, \mathfrak{L}_d$ can also be extended in a natural way to $\mathcal{X}_n$. Denote by $\{\mathbf{e}_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$, by $\mathbf{1}$ the vector $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \mathbf{e}_j$ and consider on $\mathcal{X}_n$ the operators $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_s, \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_d$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_s f)(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ z \in \mathbb{Z}^d}} s(z) \{f(\mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{e}_j) - f(\mathbf{x})\} + \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} s(z) \{f(\mathbf{x} - z\mathbf{1}) - f(\mathbf{x})\} , \quad (4.7) \\ (\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_d f)(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ z \in \mathbb{Z}^d}} a(z) \{f(\mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{e}_j) - f(\mathbf{x})\} + \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a(z) \{f(\mathbf{x} - z\mathbf{1}) - f(\mathbf{x})\} . \end{aligned}$$

In this formula and below, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is an element of $\mathcal{X}_n$, so that each x_j belongs to $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{e}_j = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + z, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n)$, $\mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{1} = (x_1 + z, \dots, x_n + z)$.

Denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,1}}$ the H_1 norm associated to the generator $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_s$: for each function $f: \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,1}}^2 = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_n} f(\mathbf{x}) (-\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_s) f(\mathbf{x})$$

and denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,-1}}$ its dual norm defined by

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,-1}}^2 = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_n} f(\mathbf{x}) (-\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_s)^{-1} f(\mathbf{x}) .$$

Lifting the resolvent equation (4.6) to $\mathcal{X}_n$ and adding and subtracting $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_s \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{u}_\lambda + (1 - 2\alpha)\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_d \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{u}_\lambda$, we obtain that

$$\lambda \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{u}_\lambda - \{\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_s + (1 - 2\alpha)\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_d\} \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{u}_\lambda = \mathbf{w}_2 , \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$\mathbf{w}_2 = \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{w}_1 + \{\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{L}_s - \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_s \mathfrak{B}\} \mathbf{u}_\lambda + (1 - 2\alpha) \{\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{L}_d - \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_d \mathfrak{B}\} \mathbf{u}_\lambda .$$

We claim that $\mathbf{w}_2$ has finite $H_{-1}(\mathcal{X}_n)$ norm. Indeed, for each $n \geq 1$, by (7.5) and Lemma 7.6 below, there exists a finite constant C_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_n \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{w}_1\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,-1}}^2 &\leq \|\pi_n \mathbf{w}_1\|_{-1}^2, \\ \|\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{L}_s \pi_n \mathbf{u}_\lambda - \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_s \mathfrak{B} \pi_n \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,-1}}^2 &\leq C_0 n^2 \|\pi_n \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_1^2, \\ \|\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{L}_d \pi_n \mathbf{u}_\lambda - \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_d \mathfrak{B} \pi_n \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,-1}}^2 &\leq C_0 n^2 \|\pi_n \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\|\pi_n \mathbf{w}_2\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,-1}}^2 \leq 2 \|\pi_n \mathbf{w}_1\|_{-1}^2 + C_0 n^2 \|\pi_n \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_1^2 \quad (4.9)$$

for some finite constant C_0 .

It remains to examine the resolvent equation (4.8) through Fourier analysis. Let $\mathbb{T}_{n,d} = [-\pi, \pi]^{nd}$ and denote by $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_\lambda: (\mathbb{T}^d)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the Fourier transform of $\mathfrak{B} \mathbf{u}_\lambda$:

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_n} e^{i\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{k}} (\mathfrak{B} \mathbf{u}_\lambda)(\mathbf{x}).$$

In this formula, $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{k} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} x_j \cdot k_j$. It follows from the resolvent equation (4.8) that $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_\lambda$ is the solution of

$$\lambda \hat{\mathbf{u}}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}) - \left\{ \hat{\mathfrak{L}}_s(\mathbf{k}) + (1 - 2\alpha) \hat{\mathfrak{L}}_d(\mathbf{k}) \right\} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}) = \hat{\mathbf{w}}_2(\mathbf{k}),$$

where $\hat{\mathfrak{L}}_s, \hat{\mathfrak{L}}_d$ are the functions associated to the operators $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_s, \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_d$:

$$\begin{aligned} -\hat{\mathfrak{L}}_s(\mathbf{k}) &= 2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ z \in \mathbb{Z}^d}} s(z) \{1 - \cos(k_j \cdot z)\} + 2 \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} s(z) \{1 - \cos(\sum_{j=1}^n k_j \cdot z)\}, \\ -\hat{\mathfrak{L}}_d(\mathbf{k}) &= 2i \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ z \in \mathbb{Z}^d}} a(z) \sin(k_j \cdot z) - 2i \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a(z) \sin(\sum_{j=1}^n k_j \cdot z). \end{aligned}$$

The $H_{-1}(\mathcal{X}_n, \hat{\mathfrak{L}}_s)$ norm of a function $\mathbf{v}: \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a simple and explicit expression in terms of the Fourier transform:

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,-1}}^2 = \frac{-1}{n!(2\pi)^{nd}} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{n,d}} d\mathbf{k} |\hat{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{k})|^2 \frac{1}{\hat{\mathfrak{L}}_s(\mathbf{k})}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{B} \mathbf{u}_\lambda$ is the solution of the resolvent equation (4.8), for every $\lambda > 0$,

$$\|\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_d \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,-1}}^2 = \frac{-1}{n!(2\pi)^{nd}} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{n,d}} \left| \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{L}}_d(\mathbf{k})}{\lambda - \hat{\mathfrak{L}}_s(\mathbf{k}) - (1 - 2\alpha) \hat{\mathfrak{L}}_d(\mathbf{k})} \right|^2 \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{w}}_2(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\hat{\mathfrak{L}}_s(\mathbf{k})} d\mathbf{k}.$$

It follows from the explicit formulas for the functions $\hat{\mathfrak{L}}_s, \hat{\mathfrak{L}}_d$ and a Taylor expansion for $|\mathbf{k}|$ small that the previous expression is bounded by

$$\frac{-C_0}{n!(2\pi)^{nd}} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{n,d}} \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{w}}_2(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\hat{\mathfrak{L}}_s(\mathbf{k})} d\mathbf{k} = C_0 \|\mathbf{w}_2\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,-1}}^2$$

for some finite constant C_0 . We have thus proved that

$$\|\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_d \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,-1}}^2 \leq C_0 \|\mathbf{w}_2\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,-1}}^2. \quad (4.10)$$

We may now conclude the proof of the Lemma 4.5. Fix $n \geq 1$. By (7.5), by the estimate presented just before (4.9) and by the inequality just derived, there exists a finite constant C_0 , which may change from line to line, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_n \mathfrak{L}_d \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_{-1}^2 &= \|\mathfrak{L}_d \pi_n \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_{-1}^2 \leq C_0 n \|\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{L}_d \pi_n \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{X}_n, -1}^2 \\ &\leq C_0 n \left\{ n^2 \|\pi_n \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_1^2 + \|\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_d \mathfrak{B} \pi_n \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{X}_n, -1}^2 \right\} \\ &\leq C_0 \left\{ n^3 \|\pi_n \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_1^2 + n \|\pi_n \mathbf{w}_2\|_{\mathcal{X}_n, -1}^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, by (4.9) and (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_n \mathfrak{L}_d \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_{-1}^2 &\leq C_0 \left\{ n^3 \|\pi_n \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_1^2 + n \|\pi_n \mathbf{w}_1\|_{\mathcal{X}_n, -1}^2 \right\} \\ &\leq C_0 \left\{ n^3 \sum_{j=n-1}^{n+1} \|\pi_j \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_1^2 + n \|\pi_n \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathcal{X}_n, -1}^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

It remains to recall the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{-1,k}$ and the statement of Theorem 4.4 to conclude the proof. $\square$

Notice that the constant C_k , which appears in the statement of Lemma 4.5, depends on k only because the one which appears in Theorem 4.4 depends on k . We have now all elements to prove Theorem 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. The proof is done in two steps. We first use the resolvent equation (4.2) to obtain a sequence $\{\mathbf{v}_j; j \geq 1\}$ of functions in $L^2(\mathcal{E}_*, k)$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathfrak{L}_\alpha \mathbf{v}_j + \mathbf{w}\|_{-1,k} = 0.$$

The sequence $\mathbf{v}_j$ is obtained through convex combinations (in λ) of the solutions $\mathbf{u}_\lambda$ of the resolvent equation (4.2). Details can be found at the end of the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [8] or at the beginning of the proof of Lemma 2.8 in [10].

At this point, it remains to show the existence, for each fixed j and $\varepsilon > 0$, of a finitely supported function $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|\mathfrak{L}_\alpha \mathfrak{f} - \mathfrak{L}_\alpha \mathbf{v}_j\|_{-1,k} \leq \varepsilon$. To prove the existence of such function $\mathfrak{f}$, assume that $\mathfrak{f}$ vanishes on $\bigcup_{j \geq n} \mathcal{E}_{*,j}$ and recall the decomposition of the operator $\mathfrak{L}_\alpha$ to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{L}_\alpha \mathfrak{f} - \mathfrak{L}_\alpha \mathbf{v}_j\|_{-1,k} &\leq \|\mathfrak{L}_+(\mathfrak{f} - \Pi_n \mathbf{v}_j)\|_{-1,k} + \|\mathfrak{L}_-(\mathfrak{f} - \Pi_n \mathbf{v}_j)\|_{-1,k} \\ &\quad + \|\mathfrak{L}_d(\mathfrak{f} - \Pi_n \mathbf{v}_j)\|_{-1,k} + \|\mathfrak{L}_s(\mathfrak{f} - \Pi_n \mathbf{v}_j)\|_{-1,k} + \|\mathfrak{L}_\alpha(I - \Pi_n)\mathbf{v}_j\|_{-1,k}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where $\Pi_n = \sum_{j \leq n} \pi_j$ and I is the identity. We estimate each term on the right hand side separately. By Lemma 7.7, the first term on the right hand side is such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{L}_+(\mathfrak{f} - \Pi_n \mathbf{v}_j)\|_{-1,k}^2 &= \sum_{\ell=0}^n (\ell+1)^k \|\mathfrak{L}_+ \pi_\ell \{\mathfrak{f} - \mathbf{v}_j\}\|_{-1}^2 \\ &\leq C_0 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} \ell^{k+1} \|\pi_\ell \mathfrak{f} - \pi_\ell \mathbf{v}_j\|_1^2 \leq C_0 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} \ell^{k+2} \|\pi_\ell \mathfrak{f} - \pi_\ell \mathbf{v}_j\|_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right hand side of (4.12) is estimated in the same way. By Lemma 7.4, the third one is such that

$$\|\mathfrak{L}_d(\mathfrak{f} - \Pi_n \mathbf{v}_j)\|_{-1,k}^2 = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \ell^k \|\mathfrak{L}_d \pi_\ell (\mathfrak{f} - \mathbf{v}_j)\|_{-1}^2 \leq C_0 \sum_{\ell=1}^n \ell^{k+1} \|\pi_\ell (\mathfrak{f} - \mathbf{v}_j)\|_0^2$$

for some finite constant C_0 . The fourth member on the right hand side of (4.12) is easily estimated by exactly the same arguments and by using again Lemma 7.4.

Finally, since $\mathbf{v}_j$ is a convex combination of the solutions of the resolvent equation (4.2), by (4.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{L}_\alpha(I - \Pi_n)\mathbf{v}_j\|_{-1,k}^2 &= \sum_{\ell > n} \ell^k \|\mathfrak{L}_\alpha \pi_\ell \mathbf{v}_j\|_{-1}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell \geq n} \ell^{k+3} \|\pi_\ell \mathbf{v}_j\|_1^2 + \sum_{\ell \geq n} \ell^{k+1} \|\pi_\ell \mathbf{w}\|_{-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed, since $\mathbf{w}$ is finitely supported, by Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.1 there exists $n_0 > 0$ large enough for the last quantity to be bounded by ε . For this fixed n_0 , find a finitely supported function $\mathfrak{f} : \bigcup_{n \leq n_0} \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which all previous expressions are bounded by ε , which is possible because $\mathbf{v}_j$ belongs to $L^2(\mathcal{E}_*, k)$.

It remains to check that we may take $\mathfrak{f}$ in $\mathcal{I}$ with $\mathfrak{f}(\phi) = 0$. The first property follows from the fact, easy to verify, that the operators $\mathfrak{L}_s, \mathfrak{L}_d, \mathfrak{L}_+, \mathfrak{L}_-$ map the closed subspace $\mathcal{I}$ of $L^2(\mathcal{E}_*)$ into $\mathcal{I}$. In particular, the solutions of the resolvent equations, as well as their convex combinations, belong to $\mathcal{I}$ so that $\mathfrak{f}$ can be taken in $\mathcal{I}$.

The second requirement follows from the fact that $(\mathfrak{L}_* \mathfrak{f})(\phi) = 0$ for any finitely supported function $\mathfrak{f}$ in $\mathcal{I}$, where $\mathfrak{L}_*$ stands for any of the four operators $\mathfrak{L}_s, \mathfrak{L}_d, \mathfrak{L}_+, \mathfrak{L}_-$ and from the fact that $(\mathfrak{L}_+ \mathfrak{f})(\{x\}) = 0$ for all x in $\mathbb{Z}_*^d$. These two properties show that we may set the value of $\mathfrak{f}$ at ϕ to be 0 without changing $\mathfrak{L}_\alpha \mathfrak{f}$.

The special features of the operators $\mathfrak{L}_*$ just used are proved in the beginning of section 7. This concludes the proof. $\square$

5. THE FLUCTUATION-DISSIPATION THEOREM

We consider in this section the general asymmetric simple exclusion in dimension $d \geq 3$. Here again, all finite constants C_0 which appear in the statement of the theorems may depend only on the transition probability $p(\cdot)$.

We prove in this section a fluctuation–dissipation theorem, Theorem 5.3 below, also called the Boltzmann-Gibbs principle. It allows the replacement of a local function w in $\oplus_{n \geq 2} \mathcal{G}_n$ by the sum of gradients $\eta(x + e_j) - \eta(x)$ and local functions in the range of the generator. This result is the main step in the proof of Gaussian fluctuations of the empirical measure around the hydrodynamic limit (cf. [4]).

Denote by $\mathbb{E}_{\nu_\alpha}$ the expectation on the path space $D(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{X})$ corresponding to the Markov process with generator given by (2.1) and starting from the stationary measure ν_α . The first result states that a local function f in $H_{-1}(L^s, \ll \cdot, \cdot \gg)$ has a finite space-time variance in the diffusive scaling:

Theorem 5.1. *Fix a smooth function $G : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact support, a local function f of degree $n \geq 2$, $T > 0$ and a vector v in $\mathbb{R}^d$. There exists a finite constant C_0 such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_{\nu_\alpha} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left(\varepsilon^{d/2+1} \int_0^{t\varepsilon^{-2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G(\varepsilon(x - rv)) f(\tau_x \eta_r) dr \right)^2 \right] \\ \leq C_0 T \|G\|_{L^2}^2 \|\mathfrak{f}\|_{-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Set $G_r^\epsilon(x) = G(\epsilon(x - rv))$ and recall Lemma 4.3 in [2] to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\nu_\alpha} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left(\epsilon^{d/2+1} \int_0^{t\epsilon^{-2}} dr \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G_r^\epsilon(x) f(\tau_x \eta_r) dr \right)^2 \right] \\ & \leq 14 \int_0^T dr \epsilon^d < \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G_r^\epsilon(x) \tau_x f, (-L^s)^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G_r^\epsilon(x) \tau_x f > . \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Fix a local function g of degree $n \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{L}_s$ be the generator defined in (3.9) and $\mathbf{g}$ be the Fourier coefficient of g . Then,

$$< g, (-L^s)^{-1} g > = < \mathbf{g}, (-\mathcal{L}_s)^{-1} \mathbf{g} > ,$$

where the last scalar product is on $\mathcal{E}_n$. Recall from section 4 that $\mathcal{X}_n = (\mathbb{Z}^d)^n$. The proof of Lemma 7.5 shows that the previous expression is bounded by

$$\frac{C_0}{(n-1)!} < \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{g}, (-\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0^s)^{-1} \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{g} >_{\mathcal{X}_n}$$

for some finite constant C_0 , where $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0^s$ stands for the generator of n independent random walks on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with transition probability $s(\cdot)$ and $\mathfrak{B} \mathbf{g}$ for the extension of $\mathbf{g}$ to $\mathcal{X}_n$. Denote by $\mathfrak{G}_n(\cdot, \cdot)$ the Green function associated to the generator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0^s$ restricted to $\mathcal{X}_n$. The previous expression can be written as

$$\frac{C_0}{(n-1)!} \sum_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}_n} (\mathfrak{B} \mathbf{g})(\mathbf{x}) \mathfrak{G}_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) (\mathfrak{B} \mathbf{g})(\mathbf{y}) .$$

In particular, for $g = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G_r^\epsilon(x) \tau_x f$, the right hand side of (5.1) is bounded by

$$\frac{C_0}{(n-1)!} \int_0^T dr \epsilon^d \sum_{z, w \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G_r^\epsilon(z) G_r^\epsilon(w) \sum_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}_n} \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + z \mathbf{1}) \mathfrak{G}_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y} + w \mathbf{1}) .$$

A change of variables permits to rewrite the expression inside the integral as

$$\epsilon^d \sum_{z, w \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G_r^\epsilon(z) G_r^\epsilon(z - w) \sum_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}_n} \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \mathfrak{G}_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} + w \mathbf{1}) \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}) .$$

Since f is a local function, $\mathbf{f}$ has finite support. In particular, the sums over $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ are carried over finite sets. On the other hand, replacing $G_r^\epsilon(z - w)$ by $G_r^\epsilon(z)$, we write the previous expression as

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon^d \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G_r^\epsilon(z)^2 \sum_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}_n} \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathfrak{G}_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} + w \mathbf{1}) \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}) \\ & + \epsilon^d \sum_{z, w \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G_r^\epsilon(z) \left\{ G_r^\epsilon(z - w) - G_r^\epsilon(z) \right\} \sum_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}_n} \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \mathfrak{G}_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} + w \mathbf{1}) \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}) . \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the second term is bounded above by $C(f, G) \epsilon^{1/2}$ for some finite constant depending on f and G . Indeed, since G has a bounded derivative and $\mathfrak{B} \mathbf{f}$ a finite support, the second line is less than or equal to

$$C(\|\nabla G\|_\infty, f) \epsilon^{1/2} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_f^-} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |w|^{1/2} \mathfrak{G}_n(0, \mathbf{x} + w \mathbf{1}) . \quad (5.2)$$

In this formula, $\mathcal{X}_f^-$ is the set of all sites which can be written as difference of two points in the support of $\mathfrak{B} \mathbf{f}$: $\mathcal{X}_f^- = \{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}, \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}) \neq 0\}$. Since $\mathfrak{G}_n(0, \mathbf{z})$ is the Green function of n independent random walks on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, it decays as $|\mathbf{z}|^{2-nd}$. The

sum over w is thus finite, uniformly over $\mathbf{x}$, as soon as $(n-1)d > 5/2$, inequality which is fulfilled because we are assuming $d \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2$. This proves (5.2).

Collecting all previous estimates we obtain that the expectation which appears in the statement of the lemma is bounded above by

$$\frac{C_0}{(n-1)!} \int_0^T dr \epsilon^d \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G_r^\epsilon(z)^2 \sum_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}_n} \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{f}(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathfrak{G}_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} + w\mathbf{1}) \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{f}(\mathbf{y})$$

plus a remainder which converges to 0 as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$. Denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n(\cdot, \cdot)$ the Green function associated to the generator $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_s$ defined in (4.7) and restricted to $\mathcal{X}_n$. An elementary computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}_n} \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{f}(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathfrak{G}_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} + w\mathbf{1}) \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{f}(\mathbf{y}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}_{n-1}} \mathfrak{B}\bar{\mathfrak{f}}(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{n-1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mathfrak{B}\bar{\mathfrak{f}}(\mathbf{y}) \\ &= (n-1)! \|\pi_{n-1} \mathfrak{B}\bar{\mathfrak{f}}\|_{-1, \mathcal{X}_{n-1}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the right hand side, $\bar{\mathfrak{f}}$ stands for $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{f}$. Notice that n is replaced by $n-1$ in the right hand side because we are fixing a particle at the origin. The last time integral is thus equal to

$$C_0 \int_0^T dr \epsilon^d \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G_r^\epsilon(z)^2 \|\mathfrak{B}\bar{\mathfrak{f}}\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n-1}}^2 \leq C_0 \int_0^T dr \epsilon^d \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G_r^\epsilon(z)^2 \|\bar{\mathfrak{f}}\|_{-1}^2,$$

where in the last step we used Lemma 7.5. As $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ this integral converges to

$$C_0 T \|G\|_{L^2}^2 \|\bar{\mathfrak{f}}\|_{-1}^2,$$

which concludes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Corollary 5.2. *Fix a smooth function $G : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact support, a local function f in $\oplus_{n \geq 2} \mathcal{G}_n$, $T > 0$ and a vector v in $\mathbb{R}^d$. There exists a finite constant C_0 such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_{\nu_\alpha} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \epsilon^{d/2+1} \int_0^{t\epsilon^{-2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G(\epsilon(x - rv)) f(\tau_x \eta_r) dr \right|^2 \right] \\ \leq C_0 T \|G\|_{L^2}^2 \|\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{f}\|_{-1,0}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Since the symmetric part of the generator does not change the degree of local functions, for a local function g in $\oplus_{n \geq 2} \mathcal{G}_n$,

$$\langle g, (-L^s)^{-1} g \rangle = \sum_{n \geq 2} \langle \pi_n g, (-L^s)^{-1} \pi_n g \rangle = \sum_{n \geq 2} \langle \pi_n \mathfrak{g}, (-\mathcal{L}_s)^{-1} \pi_n \mathfrak{g} \rangle$$

and we may proceed as in the proof of Theorem 5.1. $\square$

Fix a local function w in $\oplus_{n \geq 2} \mathcal{G}_n$ and denote by $\mathfrak{w}$ its Fourier coefficients and by $\bar{\mathfrak{w}}$ the finitely supported function $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{w} : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For each $\lambda > 0$, let u_λ be the solution of the resolvent equation

$$\lambda u_\lambda - \mathfrak{L}_\alpha u_\lambda = \bar{\mathfrak{w}}.$$

We proved in section 4 the existence of the solution u_λ of the resolvent equation and some of its properties. In the next section, among several other properties, we

show the existence of a subsequence λ_k for which the sequence $\mathbf{u}_{\lambda_k}(\alpha, z)$ converges, as $k \uparrow \infty$, uniformly in α in $[0, 1]$, to some limit, denoted by $D_z(\alpha)$:

$$D_z(\alpha) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{u}_{\lambda_k}(\alpha, \{z\}) \quad (5.3)$$

for each z in $\mathbb{Z}_*^d$.

Theorem 5.3. *Fix a local function w in $\oplus_{n \geq 2} \mathcal{G}_n$ and a smooth function $G : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact support. There exists a sequence of local functions u_m such that*

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_{\nu_\alpha} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left(\epsilon^{d/2+1} \int_0^{t\epsilon^{-2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G(\epsilon x) \tau_x W_m(\eta_s) ds \right)^2 \right] = 0 ,$$

where

$$W_m(\eta) = W_{\alpha, m}(\eta) = w - Lu_m + \sqrt{\chi(\alpha)} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a(z) D_z(\alpha) \{\Psi_z - \Psi_0\} .$$

We will refer to Theorem 5.3 as the *fluctuation-dissipation theorem*. It will be the basic ingredient to study the equilibrium fluctuations for the density field.

Proof: Recall that $\bar{\mathbf{w}} = \mathfrak{T}\mathbf{w}$. Since w belongs to $\oplus_{n \geq 2} \mathcal{G}_n$, by Theorem 4.2 with $k = 0$, there exists a sequence of finitely supported functions $\mathbf{v}_m : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbf{v}_m(\phi) = 0$, $\mathbf{v}_m$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}$ and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{\mathbf{w}} - \mathfrak{L}_\alpha \mathbf{v}_m\|_{-1} = 0 .$$

Since $\mathbf{v}_m$ satisfies (3.6), in view of (3.7), there exists a finitely supported function $\mathbf{u}_m : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathfrak{T}\mathbf{u}_m = \mathbf{v}_m$. Moreover, $\mathbf{u}_m(A) \neq 0$ only if A contains the origin and $\mathbf{u}_m(\{0\}) = 0$ because $\mathbf{v}_m(\phi) = 0$. Let u_m be the local function defined by $u_m = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}} \mathbf{u}_m(A) \Psi_A$ and let $\hat{W}_m$ be the local function in $\oplus_{n \geq 2} \mathcal{G}_n$ defined by

$$\hat{W}_m = w - \{Lu_m - \pi_1 Lu_m\} .$$

By Corollary 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_{\nu_\alpha} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left(\epsilon^{d/2+1} \int_0^{t\epsilon^{-2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G(\epsilon x) \tau_x \hat{W}_m(\eta_s) ds \right)^2 \right] \\ & \leq C_0 T \|G\|_{L^2}^2 \|\mathfrak{T}\hat{W}_m\|_{-1}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

for some finite constant C_0 . An elementary computation gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(Lu) &= - \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} s(y-x) \{\mathbf{u}(y) - \mathbf{u}(x)\} \{\Psi_y - \Psi_x\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1-2\alpha}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a(y-x) \{\mathbf{u}(y) + \mathbf{u}(x)\} \{\Psi_y - \Psi_x\} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\chi(\alpha)} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a(y-x) \mathbf{u}(x, y) \{\Psi_y - \Psi_x\} . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{u}_m(\{x\}) = 0$ for every x in $\mathbb{Z}^d$, $\mathbf{u}_m(A) \neq 0$ only if A contains the origin, $\mathbf{u}_m(A) = |A|^{-1} \mathbf{v}_m(A \setminus \{0\})$, we have that

$$\pi_1(Lu) = \sqrt{\chi(\alpha)} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a(x) \mathbf{v}_m(x) \{\Psi_x - \Psi_0\} ,$$

which vanishes in $L^2(\chi, \ll \cdot, \cdot \gg)$ so that $\mathfrak{T}\pi_1(Lu) = 0$. In particular, $\mathfrak{T}\hat{W}_m = \bar{\mathfrak{w}} - \mathfrak{L}_\alpha \mathfrak{v}_m$ and the right hand side of (5.4) is bounded above by

$$C_0 T \|G\|_{L^2}^2 \|\bar{\mathfrak{w}} - \mathfrak{L}_\alpha \mathfrak{v}_m\|_{-1}^2 .$$

Since this expression vanishes as $m \uparrow \infty$, all we need to prove is that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_{\nu_\alpha} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left(\epsilon^{d/2+1} \int_0^{t\epsilon^{-2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G(\epsilon x) \tau_x \tilde{W}_m(\eta_s) ds \right)^2 \right] = 0 ,$$

where

$$\tilde{W}_m = \pi_1 Lu_m - \sqrt{\chi(\alpha)} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a(z) D_z(\alpha) \{\Psi_z - \Psi_0\} .$$

By the explicit formula for $\pi_1 Lu_m$ obtained above,

$$\tilde{W}_m = \sqrt{\chi(\alpha)} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a(x) \{\mathfrak{v}_m(x) - D_x(\alpha)\} \{\Psi_x - \Psi_0\} .$$

Since ν_α is a stationary state, by Schwarz inequality, the previous expectation is bounded above by

$$\epsilon^{d-2} T^2 E_{\nu_\alpha} \left[\left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G(\epsilon x) \tau_x \tilde{W}_m(\eta) \right)^2 \right] .$$

A change of variables gives that this expression is equal to

$$\epsilon^{d-2} \chi(\alpha) T^2 \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left(\sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a(y) \{G(\epsilon[x-y]) - G(\epsilon x)\} \{\mathfrak{v}_m(y) - D_y(\alpha)\} \right)^2 .$$

A Taylor expansion shows that this expression converges, as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$, to

$$\chi(\alpha) T^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} da \{(\nabla G)(a) \cdot R_m\}^2 ,$$

where $R_m = (R_m^1, \dots, R_m^d)$, $R_m^j = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a(y) y_j \{\mathfrak{v}_m(y) - D_y(\alpha)\}$. By the definition of $D_y(\alpha)$, $R_m^j \rightarrow 0$ as $m \uparrow \infty$ because $\mathfrak{v}_m$ is constructed as convex combinations of $\mathfrak{u}_{\lambda_k}$, the solution of the resolvent equation (4.2). The integral therefore tends to 0. $\square$

6. REGULARITY OF VISCOSITY COEFFICIENTS

Fix a local function w in $\oplus_{n \geq 2} \mathcal{G}_n$ and denote by $\mathfrak{w}$ its Fourier coefficients and by $\bar{\mathfrak{w}}$ the finitely supported function $\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{w} : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For each $\lambda > 0$, let $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda$ be the solution of the resolvent equation

$$\lambda \mathfrak{u}_\lambda - \mathfrak{L}_\alpha \mathfrak{u}_\lambda = \bar{\mathfrak{w}} .$$

We proved in section 4 the existence of the solution $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda$ of the resolvent equation. We prove in this section the existence of a subsequence λ_k for which $\mathfrak{u}_{\lambda_k}(\cdot, z)$ converges uniformly in $[0, 1]$, as well as all its derivatives, to a smooth function $D_z(\cdot)$.

The proof is very close to the one presented in ([11]) for the self diffusion in the symmetric case, so we only show here the main points.

We want to show that there exists a subsequence $\lambda_k \downarrow 0$, such that, for each z such that $|a(z)| > 0$, $\mathfrak{u}_{\lambda_k}(\alpha, \{z\})$ converges uniformly in α to a smooth function.

To prove the existence of such subsequence it is enough to show that $u_\lambda(\alpha, \{z\})$ are smooth function of α for each $\lambda > 0$ and each z , and

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} |u_\lambda^{(j)}(\alpha, \{z\})| < \infty$$

where $u_\lambda^{(j)}(\alpha, \{z\})$ stands for the j^{th} derivative of u_λ .

By Lemma 3.1 of [13], we have the bound

$$|u_\lambda^{(j)}(\alpha, \{z\})| \leq C_0 \|u_\lambda^{(j)}(\alpha, \cdot)\|_1$$

for some finite constant C_0 depending only on $p(\cdot)$. With the iterated use of Theorem 4.4, we will prove that, for any k and j ,

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \|u_\lambda^{(j)}(\alpha, \cdot)\|_{1,k} < \infty.$$

Since the coefficients of $\mathfrak{L}_\alpha$ are not smooth at the boundary of $[0, 1]$, we reparametrize by $\alpha = \sin^2 t, t \in [0, 2\pi]$, as done in [11]. We obtain

$$\mathfrak{L}(t) = \mathfrak{L}_s + (\cos^2 t - \sin^2 t)\mathfrak{L}_d + (\sin t \cos t) \{\mathfrak{L}_+ + \mathfrak{L}_-\}$$

and then we consider the equation

$$\lambda \mathfrak{v}_\lambda(t) - \mathfrak{L}(t)\mathfrak{v}_\lambda(t) = \mathfrak{w}$$

Since $\mathfrak{w}$ does not depends on α , we have $u_\lambda(\alpha(t)) = v_\lambda(t)$. So if we prove that $v_\lambda^{(j)}(t)$ are uniformly bounded in the $\|\cdot\|_{1,k}$ norm, we obtain the boundedness in the same norm for $u_\lambda(\alpha)$ for α in the interior of $[0, 1]$. The extra argument to extend this smoothness up to the boundary is identical to the one used in [11] (see the end of the proof of Theorem 5.1 in there).

Differentiating formally $\mathfrak{L}(t)$ in t , we obtain

$$\mathfrak{L}'(t) = -4(\sin t \cos t)\mathfrak{L}_d + (\cos^2 t - \sin^2 t) \{\mathfrak{L}_+ + \mathfrak{L}_-\}.$$

By Lemma 5.2 of [11], $\mathfrak{v}_\lambda(t)$ is differentiable in t , and its derivative $\mathfrak{v}'_\lambda(t)$ satisfies

$$\lambda \mathfrak{v}'_\lambda(t) - \mathfrak{L}(t)\mathfrak{v}'_\lambda(t) = \mathfrak{L}'(t)\mathfrak{v}_\lambda(t). \quad (6.1)$$

As a consequence of Lemma 7.7, Lemma 4.5 and the explicit form of $\mathfrak{L}(t)$, there exists a constant C_k depending only on k

$$\|\mathfrak{L}'(t)\mathfrak{v}_\lambda(t)\|_{-1,k} \leq C_k \|\overline{\mathfrak{w}}\|_{-1,k+3}.$$

Then if we apply Theorem 4.4 to equation (6.1), we obtain the bound $\|\mathfrak{v}'_\lambda(t)\|_{-1,k}$ uniform in t and λ . The argument can be iterated exactly as done in [11], obtaining similar bounds for all the derivatives $v_\lambda^{(j)}(t)$.

7. ESTIMATES ON THE OPERATORS $\mathfrak{L}_d, \mathfrak{L}_+, \mathfrak{L}_-$

We prove in this section some elementary identities or estimates involving the operators $\mathfrak{L}_d, \mathfrak{L}_+, \mathfrak{L}_-$. Recall that all functions $f : \mathcal{E}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which come from a local function f through the transformation $\mathfrak{T}$ of the Fourier coefficients of f are such that $f(S_z A) = f(A)$ for all z in A . Recall also the definition of the spaces $\mathcal{I}_n$ given just before the statement of Theorem 4.2.

A simple computation shows that the space $\mathcal{I}$ is left invariant by the operators $\mathfrak{L}_s, \mathfrak{L}_d, \mathfrak{L}_+, \mathfrak{L}_-$: For every $n \geq 1$ and every $f : \mathcal{I}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathfrak{L}_s f \in \mathcal{I}_n, \quad \mathfrak{L}_d f \in \mathcal{I}_n, \quad \mathfrak{L}_- f \in \mathcal{I}_{n-1}, \quad \mathfrak{L}_+ f \in \mathcal{I}_{n+1}. \quad (7.1)$$

This claim can be proved in two different ways. Either by a direct computation or by reconstructing a local function f from $\mathbf{f}$. More precisely, to prove that $\mathfrak{L}_s \mathbf{f}_*$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}_n$ if $\mathbf{f}_*$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}_n$, let $\mathbf{f}$ be given by (3.7) so that $\mathfrak{T}\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}_*$. By (3.10), $\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{L}_s \mathbf{f} = \mathfrak{L}_s \mathbf{f}_*$, which proves that $\mathfrak{L}_s \mathbf{f}_*$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}$.

We turn now to an elementary identity to illustrate the fact that the space $\mathcal{I}$ enjoys some special properties. For every $\mathbf{f} : \mathcal{E}_{*,1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$(\mathfrak{L}_- \mathbf{f})(\phi) = -2 \sum_{x \neq 0} a(x) \mathbf{f}(\{x\}).$$

In particular, $(\mathfrak{L}_- \mathbf{f})(\phi) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{f}$ in $\mathcal{I}_1$ because in this space $\mathbf{f}(\{x\}) = \mathbf{f}(\{-x\})$ and $a(\cdot)$ is anti-symmetric. In contrast, $(\mathfrak{L}_+ \mathbf{g})(\{x\}) = 0$ for all functions $\mathbf{g} : \mathcal{E}_{*,0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that, for all $\mathbf{f}$ in $\mathcal{I}_1$ and all $\mathbf{g} : \mathcal{E}_{*,0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathfrak{L}_- \mathbf{f} = 0, \quad \mathfrak{L}_+ \mathbf{g} = 0. \quad (7.2)$$

We turn now to the proof of some estimates involving the operators $\mathfrak{L}_s$, $\mathfrak{L}_d$, $\mathfrak{L}_-$ and $\mathfrak{L}_+$. The first lemma states that the operators $\mathfrak{L}_s$, $\mathfrak{L}_d$, $\mathfrak{L}_-$ and $\mathfrak{L}_+$ are bounded in $L^2(\mathcal{E}_{*,n})$ for each fixed $n \geq 1$.

Lemma 7.1. *There exists a finite constant C_0 such that*

$$\|\mathfrak{A}\mathbf{f}\|_0^2 \leq C_0 n^2 \|\mathbf{f}\|_0^2$$

for each $\mathbf{f}$ in $L^2(\mathcal{E}_{*,n})$, where the operator $\mathfrak{A}$ stands for $\mathfrak{L}_s$, $\mathfrak{L}_d$, $\mathfrak{L}_+$ or $\mathfrak{L}_-$.

Proof: We only prove the estimate concerning $\mathfrak{L}_-$, the other ones being elementary. Fix a function $\mathbf{f} : \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in L^2 and keep in mind that $\mathfrak{L}_- \mathbf{f}$ maps $\mathcal{E}_{*,n-1}$ in $\mathbb{R}$. By the explicit expression for $\mathfrak{L}_-$ and a change of variables,

$$\|\mathfrak{L}_- \mathbf{f}\|_0^2 \leq 4 \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n-1}} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{x, y \notin A \\ x, y \neq 0}} a(y-x) \mathbf{f}(A \cup \{y\}) \right\}^2.$$

Since $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a(x) = 0$, the previous expression is less than or equal to

$$8 \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n-1}} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{y \notin A \\ y \neq 0}} a(y) \mathbf{f}(A \cup \{y\}) \right\}^2 + 8 \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n-1}} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{y \notin A, y \neq 0 \\ x \in A}} a(y-x) \mathbf{f}(A \cup \{y\}) \right\}^2.$$

By Schwarz inequality, since $a(\cdot)$ is absolutely bounded and $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |a(x)|$ is finite, this expression is less than or equal to

$$C_0 n \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n-1}} \sum_{\substack{y \notin A \\ y \neq 0}} \mathbf{f}(A \cup \{y\})^2$$

for some finite constant C_0 . To sum over A in $\mathcal{E}_{*,n-1}$ and over $y \neq 0, y \notin A$ is the same as to sum over B in $\mathcal{E}_{*,n}$ with a multiplicity factor n because all sets are counted n times. The previous expression is thus equal to

$$C_0 n^2 \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n}} \mathbf{f}(A)^2,$$

which concludes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

It follows from the next statement that the operators $\mathfrak{L}_d$ and $\mathfrak{L}_+ + \mathfrak{L}_-$ are anti-symmetric on $\mathcal{I} \cap L_{0,-1}^2(\mathcal{E}_*)$.

Lemma 7.2. *For every $n \geq 1$ and every finitely supported functions $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} : \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$*

$$\langle \mathfrak{L}_d \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = - \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathfrak{L}_d \mathbf{v} \rangle .$$

For every finitely supported functions $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}$ in $\mathcal{I}_{n-1}, \mathcal{I}_n$ respectively,

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \langle \mathfrak{L}_+ \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle = -\frac{1}{n} \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathfrak{L}_- \mathbf{g} \rangle .$$

Proof: The first identity is elementary and relies on the fact that $\sum_{x,y \in A} a(y-x) = 0$. Note, however, that both pieces of the operator are needed.

The proof of the second statement is more demanding. Fix finitely supported functions $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}$ in $\mathcal{I}_{n-1}, \mathcal{I}_n$, respectively. By the explicit form of $\mathfrak{L}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{g}, \mathfrak{L}_+ \mathbf{f} \rangle &= 2 \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n}} \sum_{x,y \in A} a(y-x) \mathbf{g}(A) \mathbf{f}(A \setminus \{y\}) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n}} \sum_{x \in A} a(x) \mathbf{g}(A) \left\{ \mathbf{f}(A \setminus \{x\}) - \mathbf{f}(S_x[A \setminus \{x\}]) \right\} . \end{aligned}$$

Since $S_x[A \setminus \{x\}] = S_x A \setminus \{-x\}$ and since $\mathbf{g}(S_x A) = \mathbf{g}(A)$ for x in A because $\mathbf{g}$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}_n$, a change of variables $B = S_x A$, $x' = -x$ in the second piece of the second term permits to rewrite the second term on the right hand side as

$$4 \sum_{x \neq 0} a(x) \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \\ A \ni x}} \mathbf{g}(A) \mathbf{f}(A \setminus \{x\})$$

because $a(-x) = -a(x)$. We claim that

$$\sum_{x \neq 0} a(x) \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \\ A \ni x}} \mathbf{g}(A) \mathbf{f}(A \setminus \{x\}) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{x,y \neq 0} a(y-x) \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \\ A \ni x,y}} \mathbf{g}(A) \mathbf{f}(A \setminus \{y\}) . \quad (7.3)$$

We conclude the proof of the lemma assuming (7.3), whose proof is presented at the end. It follows from identity (7.3) and the previous expression for $\langle \mathbf{g}, \mathfrak{L}_+ \mathbf{f} \rangle$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{g}, \mathfrak{L}_+ \mathbf{f} \rangle &= 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n}} \sum_{x,y \in A} a(y-x) \mathbf{g}(A) \mathbf{f}(A \setminus \{y\}) \\ &\quad + 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n}} \sum_{x \in A} a(x) \mathbf{g}(A) \mathbf{f}(A \setminus \{x\}) . \end{aligned}$$

The first term of the right hand side, which can be written as

$$2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \sum_{y \neq 0} \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \\ A \ni y}} \mathbf{g}(A) \mathbf{f}(A \setminus \{y\}) \sum_{x \in A} a(y-x) ,$$

is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &- 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \sum_{y \neq 0} a(y) \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \\ A \ni y}} \mathbf{g}(A) \mathbf{f}(A \setminus \{y\}) \\ &- 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \sum_{x,y \neq 0} a(y-x) \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \\ A \ni y, A \not\ni x}} \mathbf{g}(A) \mathbf{f}(A \setminus \{y\}) \end{aligned}$$

because $\sum_{x \in A} a(y-x) = -a(y) - \sum_{x \neq 0, x \notin A} a(y-x)$. The first term of this formula cancels with the second one in the last expression for $\langle \mathbf{g}, \mathfrak{L}_+ \mathbf{f} \rangle$. Therefore,

$$\langle \mathbf{g}, \mathfrak{L}_+ \mathbf{f} \rangle = -2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{x, y \neq 0} a(y-x) \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \\ A \ni y, A \not\ni x}} \mathbf{g}(A) \mathbf{f}(A \setminus \{y\}).$$

To conclude the proof of the lemma, it remains to change variables $B = A \setminus \{y\}$ and to recall the definition of the operator $\mathfrak{L}_-$.

We turn now to the proof of Claim (7.3). Since for y in A , $\mathbf{g}(A) = \mathbf{g}(S_y A)$ and since $|A| = n$, the left hand side of (7.3) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \neq 0} a(x) \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \\ A \ni x}} \sum_{\substack{y \in A \cup \{0\} \\ y \neq x}} \mathbf{g}(S_y A) \mathbf{f}(A \setminus \{x\}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{x, y \neq 0 \\ y \neq x}} a(x) \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \\ A \ni x, y}} \mathbf{g}(S_y A) \mathbf{f}(A \setminus \{x\}) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \neq 0} a(x) \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \\ A \ni x}} \mathbf{g}(A) \mathbf{f}(A \setminus \{x\}). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the second term on the right hand side is precisely the original one. Consider the first term. Perform a change of variables $B = S_y A$, rewrite $(S_{-y} A) \setminus \{x\}$ as $S_{-y}(A \setminus \{x-y\})$ and recall that $\mathbf{f}(S_{-y}(A \setminus \{x-y\})) = \mathbf{f}(A \setminus \{x-y\})$ if $-y$ belongs to A because $\mathbf{f}$ is in $\mathcal{I}_{n-1}$, to rewrite this expression as

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{x, y \neq 0 \\ y \neq x}} a(x) \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \\ A \ni x-y, -y}} \mathbf{g}(A) \mathbf{f}(A \setminus \{x-y\}).$$

A change of variables $x' = x - y$, $y' = -y$, shows that this expression is equal to

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x, y \neq 0} a(x-y) \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \\ A \ni x, y}} \mathbf{g}(A) \mathbf{f}(A \setminus \{x\}).$$

To prove (7.3), it remains to recollect all previous identities. $\square$

It follows from this result that the operator $\mathfrak{L}_+ + \mathfrak{L}_-$ is anti-symmetric in $L^2_{0,-1}(\mathcal{E}_*) \cap \mathcal{I}$:

Corollary 7.3. *The operator $\mathfrak{L}_+ + \mathfrak{L}_-$ is anti-symmetric in $L^2_{0,-1}(\mathcal{E}_*) \cap \mathcal{I}$:*

$$\ll \mathbf{f}, (\mathfrak{L}_+ + \mathfrak{L}_-) \mathbf{g} \gg_{0,-1} = - \ll (\mathfrak{L}_+ + \mathfrak{L}_-) \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \gg_{0,-1}$$

for all finitely supported functions $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}$ in $\mathcal{I}$. The same statement remains in force if $\mathfrak{L}_+ + \mathfrak{L}_-$ is replaced by $\Pi_n(\mathfrak{L}_+ + \mathfrak{L}_-)\Pi_n$ for every $n \geq 1$, where $\Pi_n = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \pi_j$.

The proof of Corollary 7.3 is elementary and left to the reader. One needs only to recall identities (7.2). The next result states that $\mathfrak{L}_d$ is a bounded operator from $L^2(\mathcal{E}_{*,n})$ to $\mathfrak{H}_{-1}(\mathcal{E}_{*,n})$.

Lemma 7.4. *There exists a finite constant C_0 , independent of n , such that for each $n \geq 1$ and for any finitely supported functions $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} : \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\langle \mathfrak{L}_d \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle \leq C_0 \sqrt{n} \|\mathbf{g}\|_1 \|\mathbf{f}\|_0.$$

In particular,

$$\|\mathfrak{L}_d \mathbf{f}\|_{-1} \leq C_0 \sqrt{n} \|\mathbf{f}\|_0.$$

The very same estimates remain in force if $\mathfrak{L}_d$ is replaced by $\mathfrak{L}_s$.

The proof of Lemma 7.4 is elementary and left to the reader. Next estimate is Lemma 2.3 of [8]. Recall from section 4 the definition of the operator $\mathfrak{B}$.

Lemma 7.5. *There exists a finite constant C_0 such that for any function $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{E}_{n,*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in $\mathfrak{H}_1$,*

$$\|\mathfrak{f}\|_1^2 \leq \|\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{f}\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,1}}^2 \leq C_0 n \|\mathfrak{f}\|_1^2.$$

Proof: The first inequality is elementary and follows from the explicit formulas for the respective H_1 norms. To prove the second inequality, for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{E}_{n,*}$, let

$$W_1(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^n s(x_j), \quad W_2(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n s(x_i - x_j).$$

We also denote these quantities by $W_1(A)$ and $W_2(A)$, for $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. A simple computation shows that there exists a finite constant C_0 such that

$$\left| \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{L}_s \mathfrak{f}(\mathbf{x}) - \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_s \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{f}(\mathbf{x}) \right| \leq C_0 \{W_1(\mathbf{x}) + W_2(\mathbf{x})\} |\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{f}(\mathbf{x})| \quad (7.4)$$

for every $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathcal{E}_{n,*}$ and $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{E}_{n,*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

We are now in a position to prove the second bound. By definition,

$$\|\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{f}\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,1}}^2 = -\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_n} (\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{f})(\mathbf{x}) (\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_s \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{f})(\mathbf{x}).$$

Since $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{f}$ vanishes outside $\mathcal{E}_{n,*}$, we may restrict the sum to $\mathcal{E}_{n,*}$. Now, adding and subtracting $(\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{L}_s \mathfrak{f})(\mathbf{x})$ in this expression and recalling (7.4), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{f}\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,1}}^2 &\leq \|\mathfrak{f}\|_1^2 + \frac{C_0}{n!} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}_{n,*}} \{W_1(\mathbf{x}) + W_2(\mathbf{x})\} \{\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{f}(\mathbf{x})\}^2 \\ &= \|\mathfrak{f}\|_1^2 + C_0 \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{n,*}} \{W_1(A) + W_2(A)\} \mathfrak{f}(A)^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.7 in [8] or Lemma 3.7 in [13] the second term of the previous formula is bounded by $C_0 n \|\mathfrak{f}\|_1^2$, which concludes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

It follows from this result that

$$\frac{1}{C_0 n} \|\mathfrak{f}\|_{-1}^2 \leq \|\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{f}\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,-1}}^2 \leq \|\mathfrak{f}\|_{-1}^2. \quad (7.5)$$

Lemma 7.6. *There exists a finite constant C_0 such that*

$$\|\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{L}_s \mathfrak{f} - \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_s \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{f}\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,-1}}^2 \leq C_0 n^2 \|\mathfrak{f}\|_1^2, \quad \|\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{L}_d \mathfrak{f} - \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_d \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{f}\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,-1}}^2 \leq C_0 n^2 \|\mathfrak{f}\|_1^2$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and all functions $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{E}_{n,*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Proof: We prove the first estimate and leave to the reader the details of the second. Fix $n \geq 1$ and a function $\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We need to estimate the scalar product

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_n} \mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{x}) \left\{ \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{L}_s \mathfrak{f}(\mathbf{x}) - \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_s \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{f}(\mathbf{x}) \right\} \quad (7.6)$$

in terms of the $H_1(\mathcal{X}_n)$ norm of $\mathfrak{h}$ and the $H_1(\mathcal{E}_{n,*})$ norm of $\mathfrak{f}$. There are two possible cases. Either $\mathbf{x}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{n,*}$ or $\mathbf{x}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{E}_{n,*}$.

In the first case, by (7.4), the expression inside braces in previous formula is absolutely bounded by $C_0 W_*(\mathbf{x}) |\mathfrak{B}f(\mathbf{x})|$ for some finite constant C_0 , where $W_*(\mathbf{x}) = W_1(\mathbf{x}) + W_2(\mathbf{x})$. Therefore, the corresponding piece in the previous formula is bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}_{n,*}} W_*(\mathbf{x}) |\mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{x})| |\mathfrak{B}f(\mathbf{x})| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\ell} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}_{n,*}} W_*(\mathbf{x}) \mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{x})^2 + \frac{\ell}{2} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{n,*}} W_*(A) f(A)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for every $\ell > 0$.

If $\mathbf{x}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{E}_{n,*}$, the corresponding piece of the scalar product writes

$$-\frac{2}{n!} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \notin \mathcal{X}_n \\ z \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} s(z) \mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{x}) \mathfrak{B}f(\mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{e}_j) - \frac{2}{n!} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \notin \mathcal{X}_n \\ z \in \mathbb{Z}^d}} s(z) \mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{x}) \mathfrak{B}f(\mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{1})$$

because in this case $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{L}_s f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. We estimate the first term and claim that the second can be handled in the same way. Since $\mathfrak{B}f$ vanishes outside $\mathcal{E}_{n,*}$, it is implicit in the previous formula that the first sum is restricted to all $\mathbf{x}$ such that $\mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{e}_j$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{n,*}$. Since $\mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{e}_j \in \mathcal{E}_{n,*}$ and $\mathbf{x} \notin \mathcal{E}_{n,*}$, either $x_j = 0$ or $x_j = x_k$ for some k . In particular, since $2ab \leq \ell a^2 + \ell^{-1}b^2$ for every $\ell > 0$, a change of variables gives that the first term of the previous formula is bounded above by

$$\frac{1}{n!\ell} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_n} \mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{x})^2 \widetilde{W}_*(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\ell}{n!} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}_{n,*}} \mathfrak{B}f(\mathbf{x})^2 W_*(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $\widetilde{W}_*(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \mathbf{1}\{x_j = 0\} + \sum_{j \neq k} \mathbf{1}\{x_j = x_k\}$. We may of course replace the sum over $\mathcal{X}_n$ by a sum over $\mathcal{E}_{n,*}$ in the second term, loosing the factor $n!$.

Adding together all previous estimates, we obtain that the scalar product (7.6) is bounded above by

$$\frac{C_0}{n!\ell} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_n} \mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{x})^2 \{\widetilde{W}_*(\mathbf{x}) + W_*(\mathbf{x})\} + C_0 \ell \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{n,*}} f(A)^2 W_*(A).$$

The same proof of Theorem 4.7 in [8] or Lemma 3.7 in [13] shows that in dimension $d \geq 3$, the first term is bounded above by $C_1 n A^{-1} \|\mathfrak{h}\|_{\mathcal{X}_{n,1}}^2$ for some finite constant C_1 , while the second, by the quoted results, is less than or equal to $C_1 n A \|\mathfrak{f}\|_1^2$. To conclude the proof of the lemma, it remains to minimize over A and to recall the variational formula for the H_{-1} norm. $\square$

We conclude this section with a central estimate involving the operators $\mathfrak{L}_+$, $\mathfrak{L}_-$. This result is Theorem 4.4 in [8]. The reader can find in Lemma 4.1 of [13] a clearer proof.

Lemma 7.7. *There exists a finite constant C_0 depending only on the transition probability p such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n+1}} (\mathfrak{L}_+ f)(A) \mathfrak{g}(A) \right\}^2 & \leq C_0 n \|\mathfrak{f}\|_1^2 \|\mathfrak{g}\|_1^2, \\ \left\{ \sum_{A \in \mathcal{E}_{*,n}} f(A) (\mathfrak{L}_- \mathfrak{g})(A) \right\}^2 & \leq C_0 n \|\mathfrak{f}\|_1^2 \|\mathfrak{g}\|_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and all finite supported functions $f: \mathcal{E}_{*,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g: \mathcal{E}_{*,n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In particular,

$$\|\mathfrak{L}_+ f\|_{-1}^2 \leq C_0 n \|f\|_1^2, \quad \|\mathfrak{L}_- g\|_{-1}^2 \leq C_0 n \|g\|_1^2.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Bernardin C.: Regularity of the diffusion coefficient for lattice gas reversible under Bernoulli measures. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **101**, no. 1, 43–68, (2002).
- [2] C. C. Chang, C. Landim, S. Olla: Equilibrium fluctuations of asymmetric exclusion processes in dimension $d \geq 3$. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, **119**, 381–409, (2001).
- [3] Esposito, R., Marra, R., Yau, H.T.: Diffusive limit of asymmetric simple exclusion. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **6**, 1233–1267 (1994).
- [4] Kipnis, C., Landim, C.: *Scaling Limit of Interacting Particle Systems*, Springer Verlag, Berlin (1999).
- [5] Kipnis C., Varadhan S. R. S.; Central limit theorem for additive functionals of reversible Markov processes and applications to simple exclusion. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **106** 1–19 (1986).
- [6] C. Landim, S. Olla, H. T. Yau; First order correction for the hydrodynamic limit of asymmetric simple exclusion processes in dimension $d \geq 3$. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* **50**, 149–203, (1997).
- [7] C. Landim, S. Olla, H. T. Yau; Some properties of the diffusion coefficient for asymmetric simple exclusion processes. *The Annals of Probability* **24**, 1779–1807, (1996).
- [8] Landim C., Yau H. T.; Fluctuation–dissipation equation of asymmetric simple exclusion processes, *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* **108**, 321–356, (1997)
- [9] Landim C., Olla S., Varadhan S. R. S.; Finite-dimensional approximation of the self-diffusion coefficient for the exclusion process. *Ann.Prob.* **30**, No. 2, 1–26 (2002).
- [10] Landim C., Olla S., Varadhan S. R. S.; Asymptotic behavior of a tagged particle in simple exclusion processes. *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat.* **31**, 241–275, (2000).
- [11] Landim C., Olla S., Varadhan S. R. S.; Symmetric simple exclusion process: regularity of the self-diffusion coefficient. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **224**, 302–321 (2001).
- [12] Liggett T. M.; *Interacting Particle Systems*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [13] Sethuraman S., Varadhan S. R. S., Yau H. T.; Diffusive limit of a tagged particle in asymmetric exclusion process, *Comm.Pure Appl.Math.* **53**, 972–1006, (2000).
- [14] Spohn H.: *Large Scale Dynamics of Interacting Particles*, Springer 1991.
- [15] Varadhan S.R.S.; Non-linear diffusion limit for a system with nearest neighbor interactions II. In *Asymptotic Problems in Probability Theory: Stochastic Models and diffusion on Fractals*, Elworthy K.D. and Ikeda N. editors. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics vol. 283, 75–128, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1994).
- [16] Varadhan S.R.S.; Self diffusion of a tagged particle in equilibrium for asymmetric mean zero random walks with simple exclusion, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré (Probabilités)*, **31**, 273–285 (1995).

IMPA, ESTRADA DONA CASTORINA 110, CEP 22460 RIO DE JANEIRO, BRASIL AND CNRS UMR 6085, UNIVERSITÉ DE ROUEN, 76128 MONT SAINT AIGNAN, FRANCE.
E-MAIL: landim@impa.br

CEREMADE, UMR CNRS 7534, UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS IX - DAUPHINE, PLACE DU MARÉCHAL DE LATTRE DE TASSIGNY 75775 PARIS CEDEX 16 - FRANCE.
E-MAIL: Stefano.Olla@ceremade.dauphine.fr

COURANT INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY, 251 MERCER STREET, NEW YORK, NY, 10012, U.S.A.
E-MAIL: varadhan@cims.nyu.edu