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Abstract

Some tools used in Combinatorics of Words allow the profiling of “divide and conquer” algorithms in a number of Operational Research fields, like database management, automatic translation, image pattern recognition, flowing or shortest path problems. This paper details one of them, the maximization of a flow over a network.

1 Introduction

Some problems make easy a “divide and conquer” approach, which usually leads to efficient algorithms (even often optimal). For instance for sorting an array, we may split it in two parts, sort the left part, then the right part and merge the two sorted parts. Some other problems do not seem to naturally allow such an approach: for instance when searching the shortest path between two vertices of a graph, it is not clear at all that it may be obtained in such a way. Neither the image pattern recognition nor problems like automatic translation, database management or flow problems seem to allow a natural “divide and conquer” approach.

Actually these problems can be solved thanks to a “divide and conquer” approach. The goal of this paper is to fully detail one of them, namely the flowing problem.

For solving a problem thanks to a “divide and conquer” algorithm, the data is usually split in smaller parts (usually halved) whose solutions are merged together.

Let us take back the example of sorting an array. It is possible to use a quicksort, a mergesort, a heapsort ... The heapsort is not a “divide and conquer” algorithm, is optimal in average and worst case but is averagely less efficient than the quicksort. The quicksort
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proceeds by choosing an element of the array (say the middle one called “pivot”), puts at its right elements that are greater than or equal to the pivot, at its left elements that are lower than or equal to the pivot, and sorts the left and right parts. The step of dealing with the two parts is performed at first and then the independent computations are performed. The mergesort consists in sorting the left and right halves of the array and then deals with the two parts by merging two sorted sub arrays. A dichotomic search has at each step only to deal with one half of the array and therefore does not necessitates a “deal with two parts” step. The algorithm presented in this paper uses an algorithm to find the shortest paths in an unweighed graph that performs several times the “deal with two parts” step and the “merge” (or “check solutions”) part.

We use an extra data structure where the method applies. This means that the first step of these algorithms consists in building the extra data structure and, fortunately, this step is performed only once and may be considered as part of the data representation. For instance when dealing with graphs for, say, the shortest path problem, the extra data structure is computed only once and is used for any couple of vertices.

All these algorithms lie on the use of radix trees, an efficient structure for storing data and for performing hierarchical computations on it.

The paper is organized as follows. After giving the basic definitions and notation, we present the common knowledge about radix trees followed by some applications in various domains. Section 4 is devoted to the study of the shortest path problem and its refinements, which in turn leads to an efficient improvement of the well known Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm for flows.

2 Definitions and Notation

A graph $G$ is a triple $(V, A, C)$ where $V$ is a finite set of vertices, $A$ is a subset of $V \times V$ (the arcs of the graph) and $C$ a function from $A$ to $\mathbb{R}^+$ (the capacities).

The sets $A$ and $C$ may be considered as an adjacency matrix $M = (m_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ where $v = \#(V)$ and

$$
\forall i, j, m_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } (i, j) \notin A \\
C((i, j)) & \text{else.}
\end{cases}
$$

For any vertices $i$ and $j$, the arc $(i, j)$ is an outgoing arc of $i$ and an incoming arc of $j$. For any vertex $i$, we denote $\text{Out}(i)$ the set of its outgoing arcs and $\text{Inc}(i)$ its incoming vertices.

The flowing problem is the following. Among the vertices of $G$, one chooses a vertex called Source (denoted by $S$ from now on), and another one called Well (denoted by $W$ from now on). The goal is to find a function $\varphi$ over $A$ such that $\forall a \in A \varphi(a) \leq C(a)$,
and for all vertices (except $S$ and $W$) of $G$, the Kirchhoff’s laws apply, which means that

$$\sum_{a \in \text{Inc}(v)} \varphi(a) = \sum_{a \in \text{Out}(v)} \varphi(a).$$

The value of the flow $\varphi$ is

$$\sum_{a \in \text{Out}(S)} \varphi(a) = \sum_{a \in \text{Inc}(W)} \varphi(a).$$

The problem is to find a flow maximizing this value.

The algorithm usually used to solve this problem is the well known Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm, enhanced in the section 5.

### 3 Presentation of Radix Trees

Radix trees are trees allow to store data in a hierarchical way. Let us suppose for instance that we want to store a set of words over an alphabet $\{a, b, c\}$. Then the edges are labeled with the letters of the alphabet (or an empty letter) and the words are obtained by reading the path between the root of the tree and any of its leaves. For instance the set $\{a, ab, aba, abc, bab, bac\}$ may be stored as (see Figure 1):

![Figure 1: The set $\{a, ab, aba, abc, bab, bac\}$ stored in a radix tree](image)

The efficiency of the radix tree structure is revealed by the computations it allows. For instance, a set of integers may be stored as a set of words by writing them in a given basis (and adding 0 at the left of shorter integers). Then a set of couples of integers may also be stored the same way. Let us take an example: the set $S = \{(0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 3), (0, 4), -(3, 1), (2, 2)\}$ may be written in basis 2 as

$$S = \{(000, 001), (000, 010), (001, 011), (000, 100), (011, 001), (010, 010)\}$$
Figure 2: The set $S = \{(0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 3), (0, 4), (3, 1), (2, ...\}$ stored in a radix tree

and, using the alphabet $\{00, 01, 10, 11\}$ may be rewritten as $S = \{00.00.01, 00.01.00,-00.01.11, 01.00.00, 00.10.11, 00.11.00\}$ (the $i$-th digit of an element is made of the concatenation of the $i$-th digits of the corresponding couple). This store may finally be stored in the set (see Figure 2).

These sets allow efficient operations such as intersection, union, complementation (for set operations) and when the elements stored are integers or couples of integers one may also perform a translation of the whole set asymptotically faster than by adding the constant to all the elements of the set. Let us furthermore notice that the elements are stored sorted.

It is also possible to advantageously store a graph in a radix tree, by thickening the graph.

**Definition 3.1** Let $G = (V, A)$ be a graph where $V = \{v_0, \ldots, v_{v-1}\}$. Let $G' = (V', A')$ be an unweighed graph where $V' = \{v'_0, \ldots, v'_{v'-1}\}$ is a set of $v' = \lceil \frac{v}{2} \rceil$ vertices and such that

$$\forall i, j \leq v', (v'_i, v'_j) \in A' \Leftrightarrow \exists a \in \{2i, 2i + 1\}, b \in \{2j, 2j + 1\}, (v_a, v_b) \in A.$$

Such a graph $G'$ is said to be a thickening of $G$ or equivalently $G$ is a refinement of $G'$.

**Remark 3.2** Any equivalence relation may be used to define the thickening of a graph (in the definition two vertices are in the same equivalence class if and only if they have the same quotient by 2).

In other words, the vertices of $V$ are grouped by two and there exists an arc between any two couples if and only if there exists an arc between an element of the first couple and an element of the second couple. A graph may be recursively thickened as long as the obtained graph has a number of arcs $a$ and of vertices $v$ verifying $P(\frac{a}{v}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ where
\( P(X) = 1 - \frac{3}{2}X + X^2 - \frac{1}{4}X^3 \). One may finally store a graph in a radix tree. Let us consider for instance an unweighed graph by its adjacency matrix. The adjacency matrix is then “summarized” as in Figure 3.

In the next sections we shall explain how this data structure may be used to profile “divide and conquer” algorithms on a number of problems.

3.1 Database Management

A relational database is made of several relations linked by sets of primary keys and foreign keys. A primary key of a relation \( T \) is an attribute or a set of attributes such that \( T \) may not contain two lines whose values are equal on these attributes. Another way to say it is that the value of a primary key identifies a single line of \( T \). Any relation has at least a primary key.

A foreign key of a relation \( T \) is an attribute or a set of attributes targeting a primary key of a relation \( T' \). In other words a foreign key is a recall of a primary key. A foreign key replaces all the attributes of \( T' \) for the line identified by its value. It is a cheap storage of all the values of \( T' \) (only the values of the primary key of \( T' \) are stored instead of all its attributes). For instance if a relation contains the data of a set of clients, each command should not contain all the data of the client but only the value of the primary key of the relation storing the clients data.
Given a relation $T$, one builds the matching “expansion relation”, builds the thesauruses and radix trees and answer SQL queries (see below). For a full description of this algorithm one may refer to [13]

**Expansion Relations**

We give a recursive definition of an expansion relation. It is a denormalized version of the database. The foreign keys are replaced by the attributes of the targeted relations.

**Definition 3.3** The expansion of a foreign key belonging to a relation is the replacement of the attributes of this foreign key by the attributes of the targeted relation. Let $T$ be a relation. The related expansion relation $E(T)$ is the relation obtained by expanding recursively all its foreign keys.

**Building Indexes**

Once the expansion tables are built, the database is made of relations no more linked because the jointures have been formally expanded in each relation. Then one builds the thesaurus of each attribute and store for each element of the thesaurus the set of the line indexes it appears at. For example, let us consider the attribute in Table 1:

<p>| | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: An example of simple relation

Then its thesaurus is \{Female, Male\} and the indexes at which Female appear (resp. Male) is \{1, 2, 4, 7, 8\} (resp. \{0, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10\}). The radix trees associated to the words of the thesaurus are shown in Figure 4).

**Solving SQL Requests**

We give briefly indications to solve SQL requests. For more details one may refer to [13].

The main part of this work is to solve the where clause, composed of equalities or inequalities separated by logical operators (and, or) and joint clauses etc. This where clause returns a radix tree, which contains the line indexes answering the clause. The joint clause are irrelevant here because of the use of expansion relations.

An equality between an attribute and a constant is the simplest case because one has simply to read the corresponding radix tree. An inequality may be computed by several
readings of radix trees and the computation of an “or”. A “and” (resp. “or”) clause is computed by performing the “and” (resp. “or”) of the corresponding radix trees.

All the other operations may be performed in a similar way.

3.2 Image Pattern Recognition

An image may be considered as a mapping from $[0, L - 1] \times [0, H - 1]$ to a finite set (of colors), $l$ being the width and $L$ the height of the image. Each color appears at a set of coordinates that one may store in a radix tree. For instance the picture (see figure 5) contains three colors, black, grey and white. The black pixels are at indexes $B = \{(3, 0), (4, 0), (2, 1), (3, 1), (5, 1), (6, 1), (2, 2), (6, 2), (0, 3), (3, 3), (4, 3), (7, 3), (0, 4), (3, 4), (4, 4), (7, 4), (2, 5), (6, 5), (2, 6), (3, 6), (5, 6), (6, 6), (3, 7), (4, 7)\}$. The white ones at indexes $W = \{(1, 0), (2, 0), (5, 0), (6, 0), (0, 1), (7, 1), (0, 2), (3, 2), (4, 2), (7, 2), (2, 3), (5, 3), (2, 4), (5, 4), (0, 5), (3, 5), (4, 5), (7, 5), (0, 6), (7, 6), (1, 7), (2, 7), (5, 7), (6, 7)\}$ and the grey ones at $G = \{(0, 0), (7, 0), (3, 1), (4, 1), (2, 2), (5, 2), (2, 3), (6, 3), (2, 4), (6, 4), (2, 5), (5, 5), (3, 6), (4, 6), (0, 7), (7, 7)\}$.

The set of appearance of the black pixels may be rewritten, in basis 2, as $B = \{(011, 000), (100, 000), (010, 001), (011, 001), (101, 001), (110, 001), (010, 010), (110, 010), (000, 011), (011, 011), (100, 011), (111, 011), (000, 100), (011, 100), (100, 100), (111, 100), (010, 101), (110, 101), (010, 110), (011, 110), (101, 110), (110, 110), (011, 111), (100, 111)\}$ and the three sets may be stored in radix trees as (see figure 6):
Figure 5: The pixels of an image

Figure 6: The radix tree of the black pixels
Such a radix tree may be translated by any vector (the computation is easy and asymptotically faster than the translation of a whole set stored in an array for instance). Then by computing \((B + (a, b)) \cap W\) one obtains the set of coordinates of the white pixels having a black pixel at relative coordinates \((-a, -b)\).

By iterating this process one finds any pattern in an image. (for more details on this algorithm one may refer to [14]).

### 3.3 Automatic Translation

This subsection describes a work in progress. One of the problems arising to an automated translation process comes from the fact that a word has usually several senses and each of these senses has a different translation in the target language. The Prophyre tree is a tree in which all the meanings of all the words (this tree is actually unachieved whatever the language) in a way allowing one to compute the semantic distance between two meanings of two words. Let a sentence be made of \(n\) words, \(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n\) and let \(m_{i,j}\) be vertices of a graph representing the \(j\)-th meaning of \(m_i\). Then one may build the arcs of this graph by linking \(m_{i,j}\) and \(m_{i+1,k}\) for any \(i, j, k\) with an arc whose weight is the semantic distance between the two involved meanings. Then by adding two vertices, \(e_b\) linked to any meaning of \(m_1\) (with a weight 0) and \(e_e\) linked to any meaning of \(m_n\) (with a weight 0).

Then the problem of finding a pertinent sense to the sentence is to find a shortest path between \(e_b\) and \(e_e\). Furthermore, if there exists several shortest paths between these two vertices, then there exists several meaningful choices for the senses of the words of the sentence (which allows to find automatically ambiguous sentences).

### 4 Shortest Path Problem

The shortest path problem is one of the oldest and more studied of the graph area. A lot of papers have been written on this subject (see [2], [7], [9], [21] or [20] for instance). Some algorithms span graphs with trees or use spanning trees (see [10] for instance), and the multicast problem is also very studied (see for instance [3], [4], [12], [17], [18], [19], [22]).

The best algorithm known up to now to solve the shortest path problem is the Dijkstra’s algorithm. It runs in time \(O(V \ln E)\) where \(V\) is the number of vertices of the graph and \(E\) the number of edges (see [5], [11] or [23]). This algorithm has been improved by many authors since 1959.

**Definition 4.1** Let \(G = (V, A)\) be an unweighted graph. Let \(R\) be an equivalence relation \(341\)
among the vertices of $G$. We shall call thickening of $G$ the graph $G'(V', A')$ for the relation $R$ where $V'$ is the set of equivalence relations for $R$ and $\forall V_1, V_2 \in V', (V_1, V_2) \in A' \iff \exists v_1 \in V_1, v_2 \in V_2$ such that $(v_1, v_2) \in A$. In such a case we shall say that $G'$ is a thickening of $G$ or equivalently that $G$ is a refinement of $G'$.

Let us denote by $\pi$ the application which maps a vertex $v$ of $V$ onto the vertex $v'$ of $G'$ such that $v \in v'$. Let $v_1, v_2 \in V$ and let us suppose that there exists an integer $k$ and a path from $v_1$ to $v_2$ of length $k$. Then there exists a path of length $k$ between $\pi(v_1)$ and $\pi(v_2)$. Indeed if $p = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k)$ is a path in $G$ of length $k$ between $v_0$ and $v_k$ then $p' = (\pi(v_0), \pi(v_1), \ldots, \pi(v_k))$ is a path of length $k$ in $G'$ between $\pi(v_0)$ and $\pi(v_k)$. Then we shall call $p$ a refinement of $p'$ or, equivalently, $p'$ a thickening of $p$. The problem is then to find a path of minimal length in $G'$ which may be refined in $G$.

Let $G_0 = G, G_1, \ldots, G_t$ a sequence of graphs such that $\forall i \leq t - 1$, $G_{i+1}$ is a thickening of $G_i$. If we call $v_i$ the number of vertices of $G_i$ and $a_i$ its number of arcs, we suppose that $\forall 0 \leq i \leq t$, $P\left(\frac{a_i}{v_i}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. An algorithm to find the shortest path between two vertices of $G$, say $d$ and $a$ is the following.

**ShortestPath($G[]$)**

**Input**: a sequence $G[]$ of thickenings of $G_0$, two vertices of $G_0$, $d$ and $a$

**Output**: the shortest paths between $d$ and $a$.

**Variables**: $l$, $th$ : integer

1. $l \leftarrow 0$

While no path is found between $d$ and $a$ Do

1. $P[t] \leftarrow$ all the paths of length $l$ in $G[t]$ between $d[t]$ and $a[t]$
2. $th \leftarrow t$

While ($th > 0$ And $P[th]$ is not empty) Do

1. Foreach path $p$ in $P[th]$ Do

   1. refine $p$ and if it may be refined
   2. store its refinement in $P[th-1]$

EndForEach

2. $th \leftarrow th - 1$
EndWhile

if $P[0]$ is not empty Then the shortest paths are found: output them and exit Else $l \leftarrow l + 1$
EndIf
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In $G[t]$ the shortest paths are computed thanks to a refinement of the BFS algorithm. Let us detail this part.

### 4.1 Finding paths in $G[t]$

Let $G = (V, A)$ be an unweighed graph such that $P \left( \frac{a}{2} \right) < \frac{1}{2}$ with $P(X) = 1 - \frac{3}{2}X + X^2 - \frac{1}{4}X^3$. Let $d$ and $a$ be two of its vertices and let us compute the shortest paths between $d$ and $a$. We suppose furthermore that the adjacency vertices of any given vertex are given through a sorted list of vertices.

Let $S \subset V$ be a nonempty subset of $V$. The cost of the computation of the set $S' = \{ v' \in V, \exists v \in S, (v, v') \in A \}$ is averagely majored by the cardinality of $S'$. Indeed, the set $S'$ may be stored in a bit vectors in which one adds the vertices linked to the elements of $S$ by a bit-or. In a similar way, the set $S'' = \{ v'' \in V, \exists v \in S, (v'', v) \in A \}$ is averagely majored by the cardinality of $S''$ (same reason). The operation consisting in computing $S'$ (resp. $S''$) knowing $S$ will be called an increment (resp. decrement). Furthermore, the cost of the intersection of two sorted sets is majored by the sum of their cardinals.

Let us consider the following algorithm, consisting in incrementing $\{ d \}$, decrementing $\{ a \}$ and intersect them until there exists a nonempty intersection. Then one may read the shortest paths between $d$ and $a$.

This algorithm may be written the following way:

```c
ShortestPath(G)
Input : a graph G, two vertices of G, d and a
Output : the shortest paths between d and a.
Variables Increments[], Decrements[], inc=0, dec=0, Parity = 0, Paths[]
Increments[Inc] = \{d\}
Decrements[Dec] = \{a\}
While (Increments[Inc] \cap Decrements[Dec] = \emptyset)
    if (Parity = 0)
        Inc = Inc + 1
        Increments[Inc] = Increment(Increments[Inc - 1])
    Else
        Dec = Dec + 1
EndWhile
End
```
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Decrement(Decrements[Dec - 1])
EndIf
EndWhile
Paths[Inc] = Increments[Inc] \cap Decrements[Dec]
For I = Inc - 1 Downto 0 Do
    Paths[I] = Decrement(Paths[I + 1]) \cap Increments[I]
EndFor
For I = Dec - 1 Downto 0 Do
    Paths[Inc + Dec - I] = Increment(Paths[Inc + Dec - I - 1]) \cap Decrements[I]
EndFor
ReadPaths(Paths)
End

After this treatment, the variable Paths contains sets of vertices and a vertex v lies on a shortest path between d and a, i arcs away from d (and l − i arcs away from d where l is the length of the path) if and only if v belongs to Paths[i].

The ReadPaths algorithm consists in reading the shortest paths through a deep first journey of paths. This last algorithm may be written as:

ReadPaths(Paths[], 1, Current = 0, Res[] = 0)
Input : The shortest paths given in an array of sets of vertices, l the paths lengths
Output : the shortest paths between Paths[0] and Paths[l].
If (Current = 1)
    Output (Res[])
Else
    Foreach vertex v in Paths[Current] Do
        Res[Current] = v
        Memory[Current + 1] = Paths[Current + 1]
        Paths[Current + 1] = Paths[Current + 1] \cap Increment({v})
        ReadPaths(Paths, 1, Current + 1, Res[])
        Paths[Current + 1] = Memory[Current + 1]
    EndForeach
End

The complexity if the H algorithm is majored by \(O(v)\) where \(v\) is the number of vertices of the graph, because the first steps, consisting in computing Paths[] is majored.
by $O(v)$ and the ReadPaths algorithm is also majored by the number of vertices involved in the shortest paths, $v$.

**Example**

Let us compute all the shortest paths between $S$ and $W$ in the following graph (see figure 7).

![Graph](image)

*Figure 7: The graph $G_0$ itself*

Then the vertices are gathered for instance two by two and one obtains the graph (see figure 8):

By grouping again the vertices two by two one obtains (see figure 9):
and stops here because $\frac{a_2}{a_1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

- The first step is to look for a path of length 0, which does not exist in $G_0$ because it does not exist in $G_2$.
- Now there exists a path of length 1 between $S$ and $W$, which is $S \rightarrow W$. This path may not be refined in $G_1$ because there is no path in $G_1$ between $S$ and $W$.
- Let us look for paths of length 2 between $S$ and $W$ in $G_2$. There exists two such paths, $P_1 = S \rightarrow b \rightarrow W$ and $P_2 = S \rightarrow d \rightarrow W$.
  The first of these two paths may be refined in $G_1$ in $P'_1 = S \rightarrow b \rightarrow W$ and $P_2$ may be refined in $G_1$ in $S \rightarrow d \rightarrow W$.
  Now neither the path $P'_1$ nor $P'_2$ may be refined in $G_0$. 
Figure 8: $G_1$, a thickening of $G_0$

Figure 9: $G_2$, a thickening of $G_1$
• Let us look for paths of length 3. There exists three paths of length 3 in $G_2$ between $S$ and $W$: $P_1 = \overline{S} \rightarrow \overline{S} \rightarrow \overline{S} \rightarrow \overline{W}$, $P_2 = \overline{S} \rightarrow \overline{S} \rightarrow \overline{W} \rightarrow \overline{W}$ and $P_3 = \overline{S} \rightarrow \overline{W} \rightarrow \overline{W} \rightarrow \overline{W}$.

The path $P_1$ may be refined in $P'_1 = \overline{S} \rightarrow \overline{S} \rightarrow \overline{b} \rightarrow \overline{W}$.

The path $P_2$ may be refined in three different paths, $P'_2 = \overline{S} \rightarrow \overline{b} \rightarrow \overline{d} \rightarrow \overline{W}$, $P'_2 = \overline{S} \rightarrow \overline{b} \rightarrow \overline{d} \rightarrow \overline{W}$, and $P'_3 = \overline{S} \rightarrow \overline{d} \rightarrow \overline{d} \rightarrow \overline{W}$. The path $P_3$ may be refined in $G_1$ in $P'_3 = \overline{S} \rightarrow \overline{d} \rightarrow \overline{W} \rightarrow \overline{W}$.

Now let us refine these paths in $G_0$.

The path $P'_1$ may be refined in $P''_1 = S \rightarrow a \rightarrow c \rightarrow W$. The path $P'_2$ may not be refined in $G_0$. The path $P'_2$ may be refined in $S \rightarrow b \rightarrow d \rightarrow W$ and in $S \rightarrow b \rightarrow e \rightarrow W$. The path $P'_3$ may be refined in $S \rightarrow a \rightarrow d \rightarrow W$. The path $P'_3$ may not be refined in $G_0$.

So one finds the shortest paths between $S$ and $W$, which are: $S \rightarrow a \rightarrow c \rightarrow W$, $S \rightarrow b \rightarrow d \rightarrow W$, $S \rightarrow b \rightarrow e \rightarrow W$ and $S \rightarrow a \rightarrow d \rightarrow W$.

It is clear that one may use this algorithm to find the paths of a given length between two vertices of a graph.

### 4.2 Complexity

In [15] the author computes the complexity of the algorithm presented roughly in the preceding section. This complexity is $O(v)$ where $v$ is the number of vertices of the graph. Since this paper is not yet published we give here the proof of this complexity in the particular case useful in the context of flows computations, the unweighted graphs.

Let $G_0 = (V, A)$ be an unweighted graph and let us denote $v$ its number of vertices and $a$ its number of arcs. We suppose in this section that the equivalence classes are sets of two vertices (and at most a class made of a single vertex).

**Lemma 4.2** Let $G_1$ be a thickening of $G_0$. Then the number of vertices of $G_1$ is $\left\lceil \frac{v}{2} \right\rceil$ and its number of arcs is averagely $a P(\frac{a}{v^2})$ with $P(X) = 1 - \frac{3}{2}X + X^2 - \frac{1}{4}X^3$.

**Proof:** The number of vertices of a thickening of $G_0$ in which the vertices are grouped by two is obviously $v' = \left\lceil \frac{v}{2} \right\rceil$. There is no arc between two vertices $v'$ and $w'$ of $G_1$ if and only if there is no arc between four pairs of vertices (if $v = (v_1, v_2)$ and $w = (w_1, w_2)$, the four pairs are $(v_i, w_j)(1 \leq i, j \leq 2)$, and we may suppose that the probability of any of these pairs to be an arc does not depend on the probability of the others). The number of arcs is hence $a' = \left\lceil \frac{v}{2} \right\rceil^2 (1 - (1 - \frac{a}{v^2})^4)$. So $a' = a P(\frac{a}{v^2})$ with $P(X) = 1 - \frac{3}{2}X + X^2 - \frac{1}{4}X^3$. 
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**Remark 4.3** The ratio $\frac{a}{v^2}$ increases in refinements.

Let us prove this remark. Let $G$ be a graph and $G'$ be one of its refinements in which the vertices have been gathered by two. Let us denote by $a, v, a'$ and $v'$ the number of arcs and vertices of $G$ and $G'$.

One has $\frac{a'}{v'^2} = 4P(\frac{a}{v^2})\frac{a}{v^2}$. Since $P(x)$ decreases from 1 towards $\frac{1}{4}$ when $x$ raises from 0 to 1, the remark is proved.

**Lemma 4.4** The average number of paths of length $l \in \mathbb{N}$ between two vertices in $G_0$ is $N(G_0, l) = \frac{1}{v}(\frac{a}{v})^l$.

**Proof:** by induction on the length of the paths. The graph contains $a$ arcs and $v$ vertices. The formula is correct for paths of length 0 (the average number of paths of length 0 between two vertices is $\frac{1}{v}$). The average number of paths of length 1 between two vertices is hence $\frac{a}{v}$. If we suppose that the average number of paths of length $l$ between two vertices is $\frac{1}{v}(\frac{a}{v})^l$ then each of these paths may averagely be prolonged in $\frac{a}{v}$ different arcs and the number of paths of length $l + 1$ between two vertices is averagely $\frac{1}{v}(\frac{a}{v})^{l+1}$.

**Refinements**

An algorithm to refine a path from $G_{k+1}$ to $G_k$ is the following:

**RefinePath(Graph $G_{k+1}$, Graph $G_k$, Path $P$)**

**Input:** a graph $G_k$, a refinement of $G_k$, $G_{k+1}$, a path $P$ in $G_k$

**Output:** All the refinements of $P$ in $G_{k+1}$

If the first arc of $P$ is refinable

- Truncate $P$ by its first arc $(a, b)$
- Foreach refinement $(c, d)$ of $(a, b)$
  - Answer.FirstElement = $(c, d)$
  - Answer.Catenate(Refine($G_{k+1}$, $G_k$, $P$))
- EndForEach

- Put back its first arc to $P$
- EndIf
- End

**Lemma 4.5** Let $G$ be a graph containing $v$ vertices and $a$ arcs, and $G'$ be one of its thickenings in which the vertices are gathered two by two. Let $v_1$ and $v_2$ be two vertices of $G'$.
and let us suppose that there exists a path \( w = (w'_0 = v'_1, w'_1, \ldots, w'_l = v'_2) \) of length \( l \) (we denote as usually \( v'_1, \) resp. \( v'_2, \) the equivalence class of \( v_1, \) resp. \( v_2 \) in \( G' \)). Then there exists averagely \( \binom{2}{v}^{l-1}(\frac{a}{v})^l \) paths of length \( l \) in \( G \) between \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) which are refinements of the path \( w \).

**Proof:** The number of possible refinements of \( w \) is \( 2^{l-1} \). Each of these possible paths has a probability \( \frac{1}{2^{l-1}}(\frac{a}{v})^l \) to exist. So the number of refinements of \( w \) is averagely \( (\frac{2}{v})^{l-1}(\frac{a}{v})^l = \frac{v}{2}(\frac{2a}{v})^l \).

The most expansive operation while refining a path from \( G' \) to \( G \) is to check wether a given arc exists in \( G \). The complexity of the refinement is hence majored by this number of checks.

**Lemma 4.6** The number of checks while refining a path of length \( l \) from \( G \) to \( G' \) is

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{l} \frac{v}{2} \left( \frac{2a}{v} \right)^i = \frac{v}{2} \frac{1-(\frac{2a}{v})^{l+1}}{1-\frac{2a}{v}}.
\]

**Proof:** when one has refined paths of length \( i - 1 \), one has only to extend the found paths by one arc. The number of checks is hence the sum of the number of paths of all the lengths from 0 to \( l \).

Let us detail an hierarchical algorithm (\( H \)-algorithm) :

```plaintext
ShortestPath(Graph G[0..M], int o, int e)
Input: a sequence of graphs, one being a thickening of the preceding, all verifying \( P(\frac{a}{v}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \), an origi vertex index \( o \) an extremity vertex index \( e \)
Output: the shortest paths between \( v_o \) and \( v_e \).
Variables :
    l : Path’s length
l ← 0
While the shortest paths are not found Do
    Find the shortest paths \( P_i \) in \( G_M \) thanks to the refined BFS
    Foreach shortest path \( P \)
        For k = M - 1 Downto 0
            \( P[i, k-1] = \text{Refine}(G[k+1], G[K], P[i, k]) \)
        EndFor
        If there exists a path in \( G[0] \) output the paths and exit
    EndIf
EndForEach
```
EndWhile

Theorem 4.7 The mean complexity of the computation of the shortest path between two
vertices of a graph by using the $H$-algorithm is $C(G) \leq v$.

Proof: The mean complexity of the refinements of paths of length $l$ from a graph
made of a single vertex to the initial graph $G_0$ is

$$C(l) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ln v} \frac{v_i}{2} \left( \frac{2a_i}{v_i} \right)^l$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\ln v} v_i \frac{v_i}{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\ln v} \frac{v_0}{2^{v_i}}$$

$$< v_0$$

The complexity of the shortest path computation is majored by the complexity of the
computations of the refinements of paths of length $l$.

5 The Flow Problem

We now present a new algorithm, which will be fully detailed in [16], for maximizing the
flow over a network.

5.1 The Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm

MaximumFlow($G$)
input: a graph $G$, two vertices $s$ and $t$
output: a flow $\Phi = (\varphi_{a_1}, \ldots, \varphi_{a_{|A|}})$
(0) Initialisation : $\varphi_{(a)} = 0$, $\forall a \in A$
$v(\Phi) = 0$
$i = 0$
$G^0_R = G$

(1) Find a shortest path from $s$ to $t$ in the residual graph $G^i_R$
(2) If there is no such path then END
(3) Else $\mu \leftarrow$ a shortest path
(consisting in the fewest number of arcs)
from $s$ to $t$ in $G^i_R$
\[ \text{cap}(\mu) = \text{the minimum capacity of residual capacities of } \mu \text{ in } G_R \]

\[ v(\Phi) \leftarrow v(\Phi) + \text{cap}(\mu) \]

\[ \forall a \in \mu \cap A, \quad \varphi(a) \leftarrow \varphi(a) + \text{cap}(\mu) \]

\[ \forall a \in (\mu \notin \mu \cap A), \quad \varphi(a) \leftarrow \varphi(a) - \text{cap}(\mu) \]

\[ i \leftarrow i + 1 \]

\[ \text{Build the new residual graph } G_R^i \]

The initial algorithm of Ford and Fulkerson, in [8], runs in pseudopolynomial time. The implementation proposed here is due to Edmonds and Karp, [6], and runs in \( O(|V|^2|A|) \) time. There exist others implementations of the algorithm of Ford and Fulkerson, which are detailed in the reference book [1].

**Radix Trees and Shortest paths**

The main step of the preceding algorithm is the step 1, which may be performed thanks to the \( H \)-algorithm. The complexity of the Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm is \( O(n^3) \) when this step is done by the use of the Dijkstra’s algorithm, whose complexity is \( O(n^2) \). The use of the \( H \)-algorithm allows a mean complexity in \( O(n^2) \).

**An Example**

Let us consider the following graph (see figure 10) in which we wish to optimize the flow between the source \( S \) and the well \( W \).

The shortest paths in terms of number of arcs are \( P_1 = S \rightarrow a \rightarrow c \rightarrow W, P_2 = S \rightarrow a \rightarrow d \rightarrow W, P_3 = S \rightarrow b \rightarrow d \rightarrow W \) and \( P_4 = S \rightarrow b \rightarrow e \rightarrow W \).

After the path \( P_1 \) (of flow 6) is considered as a part of the flow, the residual graph is (see figure fig:flows2):

After that paths \( P_2 \) (capacity 1), \( P_3 \) (capacity 5) and \( P_4 \) (capacity 3) are included in the flow the residual graph is (see figure fig:flows3):

Since in this last residual graph the source and the well are no more connected, the maximal flow is reached, with a full capacity of \( 6 + 1 + 5 + 4 = 16 \).
Figure 10: An optimization Problem

Figure 11: After a first path part of the flow
6 Conclusion

The flowing problem has an important applications: transportation of energy or water, schedule of network tasks etc.... The algorithm presented in this paper allows one to compute the optimal flow over a network in a mean time of $O(n^2)$ while the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm has a complexity in $O(n^2)$ where $n$ is the number of vertices of the graph.
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